

Denise Libretto
Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy
Energy Infrastructure Planning
1 Victoria Street
London
SW1H 0ET

Via e-mail -
Dear Denise,

02 July 2021

**The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm Order 2017 (as amended) - Proposed Non-material Change Application
Regulation 7(3): Request for Consent to Consultation Proposals**

East Anglia THREE Limited (EATL) submitted an Application for Development Consent and associated Deemed Marine Licences for the East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm in November 2015, with consent granted by the Secretary of State for the Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy (BEIS) in August 2017. The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm Order 2017 (2017 Order) granted consent for the development of an offshore windfarm with a gross output of 1,200 Megawatt (MW) (1.2. Gigawatt (GW)), located 69 km off the coast of Suffolk. The 2017 Order consented up to 172 wind turbines and associated offshore infrastructure. The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm (Correction) Order 2018 was subsequently granted on 12 July 2018 to correct certain errors in the 2017 Order. EATL submitted an Application for a non-material change in 2019 to amend the maximum generating capacity of the East Anglia THREE Wind Farm from 1,200 MW to 1,400 MW. The resultant East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm (Amendment) Order 2019 was made on 6 June 2019 (2019 Amendment Order).

EATL submitted a further Application for a non-material change in July 2020 in which amendments to the Wind Turbine Generators (WTGs) parameters were sought including to reduce the number of WTGs; increase rotor and blade tip height; and reduce the number of offshore substations to one. The resultant East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm (Amendment) Order 2021 was made on 15 April 2021 (2021 Amendment Order).

In order to benefit from continuing technological developments in the offshore wind industry and to further reduce the cost of these projects to the consumer in line with Government policy, EATL have been engaging extensively with the supply chain. ScottishPower Renewables has signed a Strategic Agreement appointing Siemens Gamesa Renewable Energy Limited as preferred bidder for the supply and installation of WTGs for the East Anglia Hub (of which East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm is one of the projects). The parties have agreed to work together ahead of the next CfD auction to optimise the East Anglia THREE Wind Farm, with the ambition of signing turbine supply and installation agreements thereafter. This Strategic Agreement includes a commitment to the consumer to reduce the cost of energy by investing in new and more efficient technology. In line with that, a new technological improvement associated with a bigger rotor has been recently made available to East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm.

EATL therefore intends to submit an Application for a non-material change to the 2017 Order (as amended) in relation to the offshore works associated with the East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm. A summary of the amendments EATL wish to seek are provided below *Table 1*.

Pursuant to Regulation 7(3) of the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011 (2011 Regulations), EATL is seeking the consent of the Secretary of State to a reduced and focused scope of consultation for the proposed Application from that carried out in respect of the acceptance of the Application for the 2017 Order.

As noted from *Table 2*, the changes will have a limited impact on a number of stakeholders and consultees and as such, it is not considered necessary to consult all those persons, bodies or organisations notified of the acceptance of the 2017 Order (as amended) under Section 56 of the Planning Act 2008.

Table 2 within this letter is a list of all of those consultees that EATL proposes to consult on the Application and pursuant to Regulation 7 of the 2011 Regulations. Also detailed are consultees that EATL do not deem necessary to consult and associated justification is provided.

You will note that for ease, and where appropriate, we have grouped consultees together. For example, we have not set out each individual landowner but included them as one group on the basis that they have an onshore interest.

EATL intends to submit the Application around mid August 2021. In order for us to meet that timeframe we would be grateful if you could please provide confirmation of your approval of the reduced consultee list within *Table 2* by your earliest convenience.

EATL will undertake public consultation of the Application and will publish Notice of the Application in accordance with the 2011 Regulations and The Infrastructure Planning (Publication and Notification of Applications etc.) (Amendment) Regulations 2020. This will include publicising the Application for at least two successive weeks in one or more local newspapers and making the Application available free of charge on the Secretary of State website and the SPR website. A limited number of hard copies of the Application will also be made available by post on request.

We have copied this correspondence to the Planning Inspectorate.

Please do not hesitate to get in touch with any queries or if further information is required.

Yours sincerely

Philip Rew-Williamson

Phil Rew-Williamson
East Anglia THREE Consents Compliance Manager
On behalf of East Anglia Three Limited

Table 1 Summary of the proposed amendments sought by EATL to the consented parameters under the East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm Order 2017 (as amended). Note, for completeness the key parameters that informed the EIA, 2019 Amendment Order and 2021 Amendment Order are also presented

Relevant Parameter	As stated in the original ES Project Description	Consented Parameters			Proposed Parameters	Reference
		2017 Order ¹	2019 Amendment Order parameters ²	2021 Amendment Order parameters ³	Proposed Changes	2017 Order (as amended)/ DML (as varied) reference
Maximum generating capacity	1,200 MW	1,200 MW	1,400 MW	No change	Removal of the stated gross electrical output capacity	Schedule 1, Part 1, Work No. 1(a), and Part 3, Requirement 3 (8)(a) Schedule 10, DMLs Generation Assets, Part 1, Condition 3 (1)(a), and Part 2, Condition 6 (1)(b) Schedule 11, DMLs Generation Assets, Part 1, Condition 3 (1)(a), and Part 2, Condition 6 (1)(b)
Development area (offshore)	305 km ²	305 km ²	No change	No change	No change	Not stated
Maximum Hammer Energy	3,500 kJ	3,500 kJ	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 10 and 11, DMLs - Generation Assets, Part 2, Condition 2(6) Schedule 12 and 13, DMLs Transmission Assets, Part 2, Condition 3

¹ The East Anglia THREE Wind Farm Order 2017 was corrected by The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm (Correction) Order 2018

² The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm (Amendment) Order 2019

³ The East Anglia THREE Offshore Wind Farm (Amendment) Order 2021

Relevant Parameter	As stated in the original ES Project Description	Consented Parameters			Proposed Parameters	Reference
		2017 Order ¹	2019 Amendment Order parameters ²	2021 Amendment Order parameters ³	Proposed Changes	2017 Order (as amended)/ DML (as varied) reference
Maximum scour protection for WTGs, accommodation platform, meteorological masts and offshore electrical stations	2,673,260 m ²	2,673,260 m ²	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 9(1)
Wind Turbine Generators (WTGs)						
WTG capacity	7 -12 MW	Not stated	No change	No change	No change	Not stated
Number of WTGs fixed to the seabed on monopile, jacket or suction caisson foundation types	172	172	No change	Reduction to a maximum of 121 turbines	Reduction to 100 turbines	Schedule 1, Part 1, Work No. 1(a) and Part 3, Requirement 3 (8)(a) Schedule 10 and 11 DMLs - Generation Assets Part 1, Condition 3 (1)(a) and Part 2, Condition 6 (1)(b)
Number of WTGs fixed to the seabed on gravity base foundations	172	100	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 9 Schedule 10 and 11 DMLs - Generation Assets Part 2, Condition 2(7)

Relevant Parameter	As stated in the original ES Project Description	Consented Parameters			Proposed Parameters	Reference
		2017 Order ¹	2019 Amendment Order parameters ²	2021 Amendment Order parameters ³	Proposed Changes	2017 Order (as amended)/ DML (as varied) reference
Wind turbine foundation type options	Jackets (piles or suction caissons), gravity base structures, suction caissons, monopiles	Jackets (piles or suction caissons), gravity base structures, suction caissons, monopiles	No change	No change (including with dimensions and number of piles of foundations)	No change (including with dimensions and number of piles of foundations)	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 5 Schedule 10 and 11, DML Generation Assets, Part 1 Condition 3 (1)(a) and Part 2 Condition 4
Turbine rotor diameter	154 – 220 m	Must not exceed 220 m	No change	Increase in rotor diameter to a maximum of 230 m	Increase in rotor diameter to maximum of 250 m	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 2 (1)(c) Schedule 10 and 11 DMLs - Generation Assets, Part 2 Condition 1 (1)(c)
Hub height Mean Sea Level (MSL)	150 m	Must not exceed 150.6 m	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 2(1)(b) Schedule 10 and 11 DMLs - Generation Assets, Part 2 Condition 1 (1)(b)
Tip height Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT)	247 m	Must not exceed 247 m	No change	Increase in tip height to a maximum of 262 m	Increase in tip height to a maximum of 282 m	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 2(1)(a) Schedule 10 and 11 DMLs - Generation Assets, Part 2 Condition 1 (1)(a)

		Consented Parameters			Proposed Parameters	Reference
Relevant Parameter	As stated in the original ES Project Description	2017 Order ¹	2019 Amendment Order parameters ²	2021 Amendment Order parameters ³	Proposed Changes	2017 Order (as amended)/ DML (as varied) reference
Minimum clearance above sea level (Mean High Water Springs (MHWS))	22 m	Minimum draught height of 22 m The number of WTGs with a draught height of less than 24 m must not exceed 52 turbines	No change	Increase to minimum draught height of 24 m for 100% of WTGs	No change (maintain minimum draught height of 24 m for 100% of WTGs)	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 2 (1)(e) Schedule 10 and 11 DMLs - Generation Assets, Part 2 Condition 1 (1)(e)
Indicative minimum separation between WTGs	In a row spacing 675 m Inter-row spacing 900 m	In row spacing 675 m Inter-row spacing 900 m	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 2(d) Schedule 10 and 11 DMLs - Generation Assets, Part 2 Condition 1 (1)(d)
Maximum inert material disposed (WTG)	3,010,000 m ³	3,010,000 m ³	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 10 and 11, DMLs - Generation Assets, Part 1, Condition 2 (d)(ii) and Part 2, Condition 6 (1)(a)(ii)
Maximum scour protection area (WTGs, accommodation platform and meteorological masts)	2,572,460 m ²	2,572,460 m ²	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 10 and 11, DMLs, - Generation Assets, Part 2 Condition 6 (1)(f)

Offshore Substation (OSS)						
Maximum number of OSSs	Up to two HVDC converter stations and four HVAC collector stations	6	No change	1	No change (maximum number of OSSs remains at 1)	Schedule 1, Part 1, Work No. 2 and Part 3, Requirement 3 (1). Schedule 12 and 13 DMLs Transmission Assets, Part 1, Condition 3 (1) and Part 2, Condition 6 (1) (b)
OSS foundation type options	Gravity Base or Jackets (piles or suction caisson)	Gravity Base or Jackets (piles or suction caisson)	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 7 Schedule 12 and 13, DMLs Transmission Assets, Part 1, Condition 3 (1) and Part 2, Condition 4 (3)
Number of legs per OSS Jacket Foundation	4	4	No change	Up to 6	No change (number of legs per OSS Jacket Foundation remains up to 6)	Schedule 1, Definitions, 'Jacket Foundations' Schedule 12 and 13 Definitions. 'Jacket Foundations'
OSS jacket foundation number of piles per leg	1	1	No change	Up to 4	No change (number of OSS jacket foundation number piles per leg remains up to 4)	Schedule 1, Part 3, Requirement 7 (2)(b) Schedule 12 and 13, DMLs Transmission Assets, Part 1, Condition 3 (1) and Part 2, Condition 4 (b)
Maximum inert material disposed (OSS)	439,350 m ³	439,350 m ³	No change	No change	No change	Schedule 12 and 13, DMLs - Transmission Assets, Part 1, Condition 2 (d)(ii) and Part 2, Condition 6 (1)(a)(ii)

Maximum scour protection area for OSSs	100,800 m ²	100,800 m ²	No change	No changes	No change	Schedule 12 and 13, DMLs - Transmission Assets, Part 2, Condition 6 (1)(d)
--	------------------------	------------------------	-----------	------------	-----------	--

ABBREVIATIONS

CAA	Civil Aviation Authority
EA	Environment Agency
EATL	East Anglia THREE Limited
JNCC	Joint Nature Conservation Committee
MCA	Maritime and Coastal Agency
MMO	Marine Management Organisation
MoD	Ministry of Defence
NATS	National Air Traffic Services
NE	Natural England
NFFO	National Federation for Fishermen's Organisations
NMC	Non-material Change
RNLI	Royal National Lightboat Institute
RSPB	Royal Society for Protection of Birds
TH	Trinity House

Table 2 List of consultees EATL propose to consult on the proposed Non-material Change Application pursuant to regulation 7(3) of the 2011 Regulations. Justification is provided where is not proposed to consult with consultees.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Marine Management Organisation (MMO)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL will consult the MMO on all proposed amendments and associated assessments.
Natural England (NE)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL will consult NE on all proposed amendments and associated assessments.
Royal Society for Protection of Birds (RSPB)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL intends to consult RSPB in relation to the amendments associated with the WTGs and associated revised Collision Risk Modelling (CRM) as well as the updated assessment associated with ornithology receptors.
National Air Traffic Services (NATS)	No	No	Yes	Yes	Although no relevant representation was received in respect of the original DCO application, EATL will consult NATS on all proposed amendments and associated assessments.
The Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL intends to consult the CAA in relation to all proposed amendments and associated assessments.
Ministry of Defence (MoD)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL intends to consult the MoD in relation to all proposed amendments and associated assessments.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Maritime and Coastguard Agency (MCA)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL intends to consult the MCA to discuss any shipping and navigational concerns surrounding a revised worst case layout which has been presented for aviation modelling.
Trinity House (TH)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL intends to consult TH to discuss any shipping and navigational concerns surrounding a revised worst case layout which has been presented for aviation modelling.
The Wildlife Trusts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL notes relevant representations and previous consultation responses are in relation to Marine Mammals and whilst the proposed amendments have limited interaction with Marine Mammals, EATL intends to consult with The Wildlife Trusts to maintain well established relations.
Whale and Dolphin Conservation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	EATL notes relevant representations and previous consultation responses are in relation to Marine Mammals and whilst the proposed amendments have limited interaction with Marine Mammals EATL intends to consult with Whale and Dolphin Conservation to maintain well established relations.
Historic England	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	EATL notes that the proposed amendments have a limited interaction with archaeological features with no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. However, EATL intends to consult with Historic England to maintain well established relations.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
The Crown Estate	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	The Crown Estate has an offshore interest and a relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application. The Crown Estate has also responded to consultation on previous Amendment Orders. Although the proposed amendments would not impact any of the areas noted in the relevant representation, EATL intends to consult with The Crown Estate. The Crown Rights article (Article 37) in the Order will not change as a result of the proposed Application.
National Federation of Fishermen's Organisations (NFFO)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	The proposed amendments have a limited interaction with commercial fisheries with no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. However, EATL intends to consult with NFFO to maintain well established relations.
The Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC)	No	No	No	No	Whilst JNCC have an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application and statutory functions for offshore renewables matters are delegated to Natural England. Natural England is proposed to be consulted on the proposed amendments. Accordingly, JNCC will not be consulted.
Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS)	No	No	No	No	Whilst CEFAS have an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, CEFAS will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
The Chamber of Shipping	No	No	No	No	Whilst The Chamber of Shipping has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. EATL intend to consult with the MCA and TH on shipping and navigational matters. Accordingly, the Chamber of Shipping will not be consulted.
The Secretary of State for Defence	No	No	No	No	Whilst the Secretary of State for Defence has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Secretary of State for Defence will not be consulted.
The Secretary of State for the Environment	No	No	No	No	Whilst the Secretary of State for the Environment has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Secretary of State for the Environment will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Harwich Haven Authority	No	No	No	No	Whilst Harwich Haven Authority has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Harwich Haven Authority will not be consulted.
Port of Felixstowe	No	No	No	No	Whilst the Port of Felixstowe has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Port of Felixstowe will not be consulted.
ABP Port of Ipswich	No	No	No	No	Whilst the ABP Port of Ipswich has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, ABP Port of Ipswich will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Harwich International Port Limited	No	No	No	No	Whilst Harwich International Port Limited has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Harwich International Port Limited will not be consulted.
Other Associated British Ports	No	No	No	No	Whilst Other Associated British Ports have an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Other Associated British Ports will not be consulted.
Royal National Lifeboat Institution (RNLI)	No	No	No	No	Whilst the RNLI has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the RNLI will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Royal Yachting Association	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst the Royal Yachting Association has an offshore interest and submitted a relevant representation in respect of the original DCO application, the proposed amendments would not impact any of the areas noted in the relevant representation. Further, the impacts from the proposed amendments, either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Royal Yachting Association will not be consulted.
Harwich Fishermen's Association	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst Harwich Fishermen's Association has an offshore interest and submitted a relevant representation in respect of the original DCO application, the proposed amendments would not impact any of the areas noted in the relevant representation. Further, the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Harwich Fishermen's Association will not be consulted.
Marine Scotland	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst Marine Scotland has an offshore interest and submitted a relevant representation in respect of the original DCO application, the proposed amendments would not impact any of the areas noted in the relevant representation. Further, the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Marine Scotland will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
SSE Galloper	No	No	No	No	Whilst SSE Galloper has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, SSE Galloper will not be consulted.
Forewind	No	No	No	No	Whilst Forewind has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Forewind will not be consulted.
Aquaterra Energy	No	No	No	No	Whilst Aquaterra Energy has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Aquaterra Energy will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
SmartWind Ltd (Hornsea)	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst SmartWind Ltd (Hornsea) has an offshore interest and submitted a relevant representation in respect of the original DCO application, the proposed amendments would not impact any of the areas noted in the relevant representation. Further, the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, SmartWind Ltd (Hornsea) will not be consulted.
British Marine Aggregates	No	No	No	No	Whilst British Marine Aggregates has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, British Marine Aggregates will not be consulted.
CEMEX	No	No	No	No	Whilst CEMEX has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, CEMEX will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
DFDS Seaways	No	No	No	No	Whilst DFDS Seaways has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, DFDS Seaways will not be consulted.
Eastern Sea Fisheries Joint Committee	No	No	No	No	Whilst Eastern Sea Fisheries Joint Committee has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Eastern Sea Fisheries Joint Committee will not be consulted.
Interconnector (UK) Limited	No	No	No	No	Whilst Interconnector (UK) Limited has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Interconnector (UK) Limited will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
International Ports	No	No	No	No	Whilst International Ports has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, International Ports will not be consulted.
EuroShip Services Ltd	No	No	No	No	Whilst EuroShip Services Ltd has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, EuroShip Services Ltd will not be consulted.
P & O Ferries	No	No	No	No	Whilst P & O Ferries has an offshore interest, no relevant representation was submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, P & O Ferries will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Transition Ipswich	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst Transition Ipswich has an offshore interest and submitted a relevant representation in respect of the original DCO application, the relevant representation submitted was in support of the DCO application. Further, the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Transition Ipswich will not be consulted.
DONG Energy	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst DONG Energy has an offshore interest and submitted a relevant representation in respect of the original DCO application, the proposed amendments would not impact any of the areas noted in the relevant representation. Further, the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, DONG Energy will not be consulted.
ENI UK Limited	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst Eni UK Limited has an offshore interest, Eni UK Limited benefits from agreed protective provisions in the 2017 Order (as amended), and the protective provisions will not change as a result of the proposed Application. Accordingly, Eni UK Limited will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd	Yes	No	No	No	Whilst Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd has an offshore interest and submitted a relevant representation in respect of the original DCO application, the proposed amendments would not impact any of the areas noted in the relevant representation. Further, the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Vattenfall Wind Power Ltd will not be consulted.
Fishermen	Yes	No	No	No	The proposed Application includes a reduction in the number of WTGs and, therefore, there will be a smaller footprint of works in the Order Limits. There will be no changes to the spacing requirements as stipulated in the 2017 Order (as amended). Therefore, the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended). As such there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Fishermen will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Transboundary Consultees	No	No	No	No	<p>Whilst Transboundary Consultees have an offshore interest, no relevant representations were submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA.</p> <p>In addition, the maximum number of WTGs will not increase, and the minimum spacing requirements and maximum area of offshore development as originally assessed and secured will not change.</p> <p>In relation to marine mammals there will be no change to the maximum hammer energy which is secured within the 2017 Order (as amended). Accordingly, Transboundary Consultees will not be consulted.</p>
Cable and Pipeline Operators	No	No	No	No	<p>Whilst Cable and Pipeline Operators have an offshore interest, no relevant representations were submitted in respect of the original DCO application, and as the impacts from the proposed amendments either fall within the worst-case parameters assessed in the EIA or will be controlled by measures secured in the 2017 Order (as amended), there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Cable and Pipeline Operators will not be consulted.</p>
Onshore Consultees					

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Suffolk County Council	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Although the proposed changes will not impact any of the areas noted in Suffolk County Council's relevant representation, EATL intends to consult Suffolk County Council in relation to all proposed amendments and associated assessments.
East Suffolk Council	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Although the proposed changes will not impact any of the areas noted in East Suffolk Council's relevant representation, EATL intends to consult East Suffolk Council in relation to all proposed amendments and associated assessments.
The Environment Agency (EA)	Yes	No	No	No	The proposed changes will not impact any of the areas noted in The Environment Agency's relevant representation. The Environment Agency was not previously included in the Regulation 7 list of consultees for the previous non-material change applications and have confirmed that they do not need to be consulted for this non-material change application. Accordingly, The Environment Agency will not be consulted.
Mid Suffolk District Council	Yes	No	No	Yes	Although the proposed changes will not impact any of the areas noted in Mid Suffolk District Council's relevant representation, EATL intends to consult Mid Suffolk District Council in relation to all proposed amendments and associated assessments.
Waveney District Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Waveney District Council will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Ipswich Borough Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Ipswich Borough Council will not be consulted.
Essex County Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Essex County Council will not be consulted.
Norfolk County Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Norfolk County Council will not be consulted.
Cambridgeshire County Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Cambridgeshire County Council will not be consulted.
The Broads Authority	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, The Broads Authority will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
South Cambridgeshire District Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, South Cambridgeshire District Council will not be consulted.
East Cambridgeshire District Council	Yes	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, East Cambridgeshire District Council will not be consulted.
Tendring District Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Tendring District Council will not be consulted.
Colchester Borough Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Colchester Borough Council will not be consulted.
Braintree District Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Braintree District Council will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Great Yarmouth Borough Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Great Yarmouth Borough Council will not be consulted.
South Norfolk District Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, South Norfolk District Council will not be consulted.
King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, King's Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council will not be consulted.
Breckland District Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Breckland District Council will not be consulted.
St. Edmundsbury Borough Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, St. Edmundsbury Borough Council will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Forest Heath District Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Forest Heath District Council will not be consulted.
Health and Safety Executive	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Health and Safety Executive will not be consulted.
NHS England	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, NHS England will not be consulted.
East of England Strategic Health Authority	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the East of England Strategic Health Authority will not be consulted.
NHS Ipswich and E. Suffolk Clinical Commissioning Group	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, NHS Ipswich and E. Suffolk Clinical Commissioning Group will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Colchester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Colchester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust will not be consulted.
Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust will not be consulted.
West Suffolk Hospital NHS Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, West Suffolk Hospital NHS Trust will not be consulted.
East of England Ambulance Headquarters	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, East of England Ambulance Headquarters will not be consulted.
Suffolk Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Mental Health Partnership NHS Trust will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Suffolk Fire and Rescue Service	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Fire and Rescue Service will not be consulted.
Suffolk Constabulary	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Constabulary will not be consulted.
CABE at Design Council	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, CABE at Design Council will not be consulted.
Equality and Human Rights Commission	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Equality and Human Rights Commission will not be consulted.
Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
The Homes and Communities Agency	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, The Homes and Communities Agency will not be consulted.
Highways England	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Highways England will not be consulted.
Department for Transport	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Department for Transport will not be consulted.
Department for Culture, Media and Sport	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Department for Culture, Media and Sport will not be consulted.
Interoute	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Interoute will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Transport Focus	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Transport Focus will not be consulted.
The Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, The Disabled Persons Transport Advisory Committee will not be consulted.
The Coal Authority	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, The Coal Authority will not be consulted.
Office of Rail Regulation	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Office of Rail Regulation will not be consulted.
Office of Gas and Electricity Markets (OFGEM)	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, OFGEM will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Office of Water Services (OFWAT)	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, OFWAT will not be consulted.
Broads & Norfolk Rivers Internal Drainage Board (IDB)	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Broads & Norfolk Rivers IDB will not be consulted.
East Suffolk IDB	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, East Suffolk IDB will not be consulted.
King's Lynn IDB	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, King's Lynn IDB will not be consulted.
South Holland IDB	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, South Holland IDB will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Waveney, Lower Yare & Lothingland IDB	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Waveney, Lower Yare & Lothingland IDB will not be consulted.
Canal and River Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the Canal and River Trust will not be consulted.
Public Health England	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Public Health England will not be consulted.
Suffolk Local Resilience Forum	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Local Resilience Forum will not be consulted.
New Anglia LEP	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, New Anglia LEP will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd will not be consulted.
Network Rail; Channel Tunnel Rail Link Ltd	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Network Rail; Channel Tunnel Rail Link Ltd will not be consulted.
New Orford Town Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, New Orford Town Trust will not be consulted.
Harwich International Port Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Harwich International Port Limited will not be consulted.
Royal Mail Group	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Royal Mail Group will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Lowestoft College	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Lowestoft College will not be consulted.
Lowestoft and Waveney Chamber of Commerce	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Lowestoft and Waveney Chamber of Commerce will not be consulted.
Haven Gateway Partnership	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Haven Gateway Partnership will not be consulted.
Suffolk County Council Transmission Symposium	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk County Council Transmission Symposium will not be consulted.
Suffolk, The Greenest County	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk, The Greenest County will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
River Deben Association	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the River Deben Association will not be consulted.
GeoSuffolk	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, GeoSuffolk will not be consulted.
Stour Valley Underground	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Stour Valley Underground will not be consulted.
Suffolk Biodiversity Partnership	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Biodiversity Partnership will not be consulted.
British Horse Society	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the British Horse Society will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
East Anglian Waterways Association	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, East Anglian Waterways Association will not be consulted.
Anglian Water Services Ltd	Yes	No	Yes	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Anglian Water Services Ltd's submission on the 2021 Amendment Order stated that they had no comments relating to the proposed changes to the design of offshore Wind Turbine Generators and electrical stations, as their interest relates to the onshore elements of the project. Accordingly, Anglian Water Services Ltd will not be consulted.
British Gas Pipelines	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, British Gas Pipelines will not be consulted.
Centrica	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Centrica will not be consulted.
EDF Energy	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, EDF Energy will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Energetics Gas Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Energetics Gas Limited will not be consulted.
EOn Energy	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, EOn Energy will not be consulted.
ES Pipelines Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, ES Pipelines Limited will not be consulted.
ESP Connections Ltd	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, ESP Connections Ltd will not be consulted.
ESP Networks Ltd	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, ESP Networks Ltd will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
ESP Pipelines Ltd	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, ESP Pipelines Ltd will not be consulted.
Fulcrum Pipelines Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Fulcrum Pipelines Limited will not be consulted.
GTC Pipelines Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, GTC Pipelines Limited will not be consulted.
Independent Pipelines Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Independent Pipelines Limited will not be consulted.
LNG Portable Pipeline Services Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, LNG Portable Pipeline Services Limited will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
National Grid Gas Plc	Yes	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, National Grid Gas Plc will not be consulted.
National Grid Plc	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, National Grid Plc will not be consulted.
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	Yes	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc will not be consulted.
Quadrant Pipelines Plc	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Quadrant Pipelines Plc will not be consulted.
SSE Pipelines Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, SSE Pipelines Limited will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
SSE Southern Electric	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, SSE Southern Electric will not be consulted.
Utility Grid Installations Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Utility Grid Installations Limited will not be consulted.
ESP Electricity Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, ESP Electricity Limited will not be consulted.
Independent Power Networks Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Independent Power Networks Limited will not be consulted.
The Electricity Network Company Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, The Electricity Network Company Limited will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
East of England Energy Group	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, East of England Energy Group will not be consulted.
UK Power Networks Limited	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, UK Power Networks Limited will not be consulted.
Forestry Commission	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Forestry Commission will not be consulted.
Suffolk Preservation Society	Yes	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Preservation Society will not be consulted.
Suffolk Wildlife Trust	Yes	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Wildlife Trust will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Norfolk Wildlife Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Norfolk Wildlife Trust will not be consulted.
British Trust for Ornithology	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, British Trust for Ornithology will not be consulted.
Suffolk Wildlife Trust Badger Group	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Suffolk Wildlife Trust Badger Group will not be consulted.
National Trust	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, the National Trust will not be consulted.
Butterfly Conservation	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Butterfly Conservation will not be consulted.

Section 56 Consultee	Relevant Representation submitted by Section 56 Consultee	Submitted response to 2019 Amendment Order	Submitted response to 2021 Amendment Order	Proposed inclusion in Regulation 7 consultation	Justification
Deben Estuary Partnership	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Deben Estuary Partnership will not be consulted.
Landowners or people with an interest in the Order Limits (section42(1)d))	Yes	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Landowners will not be consulted.
Parish Councils	Yes	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Parish Councils will not be consulted.
Third Party Landowners, i.e. Category 3	No	No	No	No	The Application does not seek to amend onshore parameters and, as such, there will be no additional impacts, or impacts of greater significance, than those described in the EIA. Accordingly, Third Party Landowners will not be consulted.