

# East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm

## Appendix 26.1 Abnormal Indivisible Load Study

Preliminary Environmental Information Volume 3 EA1N-DEVWF-ENV-REP-IBR-000295\_001



## Abnormal Indivisible Load Access to the Proposed East Anglia TWO and Proposed East Anglia ONE North Offshore Windfarm Substation

Prepared for ScottishPower Renewables



Shaftesbury House, High Street, Eccleshall, Staffordshire ST21 6BZ, UK Tel: +44 (0)1785 850411 wynnslimited.com Registered in England & Wales No. 3162297



#### SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & ONE North Offshore Windfarm I AIL Report I 19.10.18

NAME		SIGNATURE	DATE
Prepared by:	Andy Pearce	Indfleene	20.06.18
Checked by:	Daisy Wynn	Dugy	21.06.18
Approved by:	Peter Wynn	kt Aily	22.06.18

## DISCLAIMER

Any conclusions, calculations or findings presented here should not be changed or altered.

## COPYRIGHT AND NON-DISCLOSURE NOTICE

The contents and layout of this report are subject to copyright owned by Wynns Ltd save to the extent that copyright has been legally assigned by us to another party or is used by Wynns Ltd under licence. To the extent that we own the copyright in this report, it may not be copied or used without our prior written agreement for any purpose other than the purpose indicated in this report.

The methodology (if any) contained in this report is provided to you in confidence and must not be disclosed or copied to third parties without the prior written agreement of Wynns Ltd. Disclosure of that information may constitute an actionable breach of confidence or may otherwise prejudice our commercial interests. Any third party who obtains access to this report by any means will, in any event, be subject to the Third Party Disclaimer set out below.

## THIRD PARTY DISCLAIMER

Any disclosure of this report to a third party is subject to this disclaimer. The report was prepared by Wynns Ltd at the instruction of, and for use by, our client named on the front of the report. It does not in any way constitute advice to any third party who is able to access it by any means. Wynns Ltd excludes to the fullest extent lawfully permitted all liability whatsoever for any loss or damage howsoever arising from reliance on the contents of this report. We do not however exclude our liability (if any) for personal injury or death resulting from our negligence, for fraud or any other matter in relation to which we cannot legally exclude liability.

## DOCUMENT REVISIONS

Issue	Date	Details
0	22.06.18	Report
1	19.10.18	Report - Updated with client comments
2		

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Report 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Contents & Executive Summary I Page i of liv

ii

#### Contents

#### Executive Summary

1. Ir	I. Introduction			
2. Highways England Agreement in Principle and Legislative Requirements				
2.1.	Definition of Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL)	5		
2.2.	Legislation	6		
2.3.	The Removal and Replacement of Street Furniture	6		
2.4.	Water Preferred Policy Requirements	6		
3. T	ransport Configurations	7		
4. H	istorical Information	8		
5. M	larine Access	10		
5.1.	Port of Lowestoft	10		
5.2.	Port of Felixstowe	12		
5.3.	Alternative Marine Access Lowestoft	16		
6. P	hysical Restrictions Affecting a Road Movement	17		
6.1.	General	17		
6.2.	Headroom	17		
6.3.	Negotiability	19		
6.4.	Structural Capability and Highway Capacity	19		
6.5.	Speed	21		
7. T	he Width of Highways, Fences and Verges, Overrun and Over-Sail	21		
7.1.	Width of Highway	21		
7.2.	Fences and Verges	22		
7.3.	Over-sail	22		
8. S	tructural Route Information	23		
8.1.	Routes from Lowestoft	23		
8.2.	Routes from Felixstowe	26		
9. R	oute Negotiability Information	27		
9.1.	Route 1 from Lowestoft	27		
9.2.	Route 2 from Lowestoft	37		
9.3.	Route 3 from Felixstowe	38		
9.4.	Route 4 from Felixstowe	38		
10. S	ummary and Conclusions	45		
A	ppendix 1	48		
Δ.	Maps	48		
A	ppenaix 2 Drawings	49 ⊿a		
Appendix 3				
	Potential Trailer Arrangement information	50		
A	ppendix 4	51		

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Repo 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Contents & Executive Summary I Page ii of liv

Port of Lowestoft information	51
Appendix 5	52
Correspondence with SLP reference Belvedere Yard	52
Appendix 6	53
Port of Felixstowe information	53
Appendix 7	54
Other Selected Correspondence	54



## **Executive Summary**

This report includes information on the land and marine transport required for achieving Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) access to the proposed onshore substation associated with the onshore transmission works for the proposed East Anglia TWO and East Anglia ONE North substation site for Special Order movements of above 150te gross loads considerate of a transformer transport weight of 282te.

Highways England (HE) have advised that Special Order deliveries should consider the feasibility of access via Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft in line with the Department for Transports water preferred policy for using the nearest port. They would only permit access from an alternative ports such as Felixstowe if it can be proven that Belvedere Yard at Lowestoft was not suitable for any reason.

Associated British Ports (ABP) confirmed that the preferred offloading point for heavy load delivery at Lowestoft is Belvedere Yard and there is no value in offloading on the north bank as there are no suitable heavy load routes south over Lake Loathing.

Belvedere Yard is within the ownership of Sembmarine SLP (SLP) and used as a storage facility to support operations on the north pier. It is not part of the commercial docks. SLP have confirmed that in principle they would be willing to make the facility available for transformer deliveries. However, this would depend on what operations they have in progress at the time of requirement and they are not able to give assurances that they will remain able to accommodate the proposed deliveries. There is a risk that long term access cannot be secured and it is recommended that Scottish Power Renewables (SPR) seek to enter into more commercial discussions with SLP to secure the long term availability for transformer deliveries based on the timescales, and also lifetime support of the new substation development.

The Port of Felixstowe is also available as a marine delivery location for delivery via roll on-roll off (ro-ro), geared vessels or from coastal vessels and mobile cranes subject to specific loading arrangements being agreed with the port to adhere to maximum loads of 15te/m<sup>2</sup>.

The routes from both Lowestoft and Felixstowe will require further detailed structural assessments to resolve access to the satisfaction of Suffolk County Council who require that all of their structures crossed by the proposed loads to be subject to a structural assessment.

There are presently structural restrictions on all proposed routes and Suffolk County Council will require detailed structural assessments to be carried out on all of their structures. It may also be feasible to appoint third party consulting engineers to undertake the assessment works although the methodology for assessment would need to be approved by Suffolk County Council.

Indicative costs for assessments of 29 structures on Route 1 from Lowestoft only have been provided by Suffolk County Council of between £100,000-£125,000. These costs are indicative and it is recommended that a meeting is arranged with Suffolk County Council, Highways England and the Department for Transport (DfT) to confirm the exact requirements for assessments and to clarify reasonable requirements for structural assessment costs. SPR should advise if they wish for this meeting to be arranged. No estimated timescale for carrying out the assessments have been provided by Suffolk County Council to date.

It is recommended that any assessments that are progressed are carried out as a collaborative exercise between SPR and other potential organisations within the Electricity Supply Industry who require heavy load access at Sizewell B Power Station, Sizewell Substation and Leiston Substation.

#### SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Rep 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Contents & Executive Summary I Page iv of liv

Network Rail have advised that their Bridge on the A1094 (Reference ESK/B/444) is unable to accommodate the proposed loads. After further discussions, Network Rail have indicated that a more detailed structural assessment is not worth pursuing and that the only way they would consider permitting loads over this structure would be after remedial works were carried out. This would be subject to further detailed discussions if this option was to be explored further. Therefore access to the proposed substation would not be feasible at this stage from the A12/A1094 and should be discounted, with the preferred access to be via the B1122 and Leiston. This is an extension of the historical heavy load route to the Sizewell Power Stations.

Route inspections have been carried out during June 2018 and the preferred route from Lowestoft is negotiable for 20 axle girder frame trailers subject to street furniture removal at the locations indicated within this report. This is based on the new access to the site being constructed from the B1069 to the south east of the proposed development area. In the event that access was required from the B1121 at Friston, to the south west of the proposed site remedial works including third party land take would be required to enable access at the B1069 and A1094 junction.

It is recommended that a Swept Path Assessment (SPA) is undertaken at Farnham on the A12 to confirm the alternative route from Felixstowe is negotiable should the port of Lowestoft be unavailable in the future.

Careful consideration of traffic management under police escort will be necessary prior to movements as there will be multiple locations where the entire carriageway will be taken up by the AILs on single carriageway sections of the road network. The exact requirements will depend on the trailer selected for movement and it will be necessary for the appointed haulage contractor to confirm street furniture removal requirements, escorts, movement timings and other logistical details prior to delivery.

In terms of onsite access requirements a more detailed assessment will need to be undertaken to confirm final installation methods as no specific review of site access from the public highway to the site and also within the substation has been included within this report.

This report is intended to be a summary of the AIL route access at the current time and is not a guarantee that the route will be cleared in the future. The issues highlighted in this report as risks to achieving Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) access in the future will need to be revisited and progressed as the project develops.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 5 of 54



#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. The contents of this report include land and marine transport feasibility investigations into achieving access to the proposed East Anglia TWO and East Anglia ONE North substation site for Special Order movements of above 150te gross loads as part of a future substation project.
- 1.2. This will require the construction of a new substation in the vicinity of Sizewell and Leiston, Suffolk as part of the onshore transmission works presented in Drawing No EA1N-EA2-DEV-DRG-IBR-00TBC25 provided by ScottishPower Renewables (SPR). The drawing details the indicative East Anglia ONE North development area which requires that the delivery of large and heavy electrical transformers are considered.
- 1.3. The weight of plant considered in these investigations is based on the currently assumed maximum weight of a transformer of 282te. This weight is as advised by SPR at the commencement of the project as being indicative of the size of loads likely to require delivery to the site. The weight is subject to change following the award of final manufacturing contracts but is an assumed worst case for current project planning.
- 1.4. It is expected that the heavy loads will require delivery in the mid-term future and no definite movement dates are known at this time and this report is intended to provide a summary of the issues identified on heavy load access in terms of marine and road transport.
- 1.5. This investigation considers the possible land transport routes from potential heavy lift marine delivery berths at the ports of Lowestoft and Felixstowe. Formal movement applications will be necessary upon appointment of a haulage contractor by the transformer manufacturer with associated detailed movement programmes. The issues highlighted in this report as risks to achieving AIL access in the future will need to be revisited and progressed as the project progresses.
- 1.6. The report is intended to be a summary of the AIL route access at the current time and is not a guarantee that the route will be cleared in the future. Specific movements will need to be assessed at the time on an individual basis. If any further information is required, it is available on request.
- 1.7. The report considers access to the proposed East Anglia ONE North substation site in terms of AIL transportation of transformers only and no consideration has been given to wider Construction and Use (C&U) traffic requirements.

#### 2. Highways England Agreement in Principle and Legislative Requirements

#### 2.1. Definition of Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL)

2.1.1. The Department for Transport, of which Highways England (HE), is a government-owned company with responsibility for managing the core road network in England, state that the strict definition of an AIL refers to a load which cannot, without undue expense or risk of damage, be divided into two or more loads for the purpose of carriage on roads and which, owing to its dimensions or weight, cannot be carried on a vehicle which complies in all respects with the 'standard vehicle regulations' these are:



- The Road Vehicles (Construction and Use) Regulations 1986 (as amended)
- The Road Vehicles (Authorised Weight) Regulations 1998 (as amended)
- The Road Vehicles Lighting Regulations 1989 (as amended).
- 2.1.2. All equipment should be stripped of their ancillaries before they are transported. HE will only accept that further dismantling is not required where it cannot be economically achieved due to the requirement for its construction within specific factory environments or where extremely high tolerances have to be maintained.

## 2.2. Legislation

- 2.2.1. Conventional heavy goods vehicles have an operating weight limit of 44 tonnes. The category known as Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AIL) covers those vehicles where the gross weight exceeds 44 tonnes. An Abnormal Load is defined as that which cannot be carried under C&U Regulations. Items which, when loaded on the load carrying vehicle exceed the weights encompassed by the C&U Regulations, but do not exceed Special Order Permission Limits, are governed by Special Types General Order (STGO) categories 1 to 3 depending on size. Where dimensions exceed 6.1m in width, 30m in rigid length or 150 tonnes gross weight, Special Order from Highways England (HE) is required.
- 2.2.2. Special Order category AIL movements are authorised by the HE Abnormal Loads team, based in Birmingham.
- 2.3. The Removal and Replacement of Street Furniture
- 2.3.1. Where the removal and replacement of street furniture is required for the mobilisation of out of gauge vehicles into existing sites then these are generally managed under Temporary Traffic Regulation Order (TTRO) and Street Works Legislation. These are normally, but not necessarily, organised by the haulage contractor. These requirements are generally to ensure that the supervisors and operatives are competent and that the works will be carried out to a prescribed standard with the appropriate traffic management in place. In some circumstances the highway authority or local authority will insist that their preferred contractors carry out such work.

## 2.4. Water Preferred Policy Requirements

- 2.4.1. The DfT has adopted a 'water-preferred' policy for the transport of AILs. This means that, where an application is sought for the movement of a Special Order or VR1 category load (more than 5.0m width) by road, the Department, via HE, will turn down the application where it is feasible for a coastal or inland waterway route to be used instead of road. HE advise that this decision is based on a number of factors including whether the load is divisible, the availability of a suitable route, the amount of traffic congestion that is likely to be caused and the justification for the load to be moved. The HE abnormal loads team is the department responsible for the authorisation of Special Order AIL's and government policy is that the closest available port of access should be used for the delivery of such oversize items.
- 2.4.2. In consideration of the water-preferred policy to maximise the use of water for the movement of Special Order (Above 150te gross) AIL's wherever practicable, Wynns has



sought confirmation from HE as to the port of access they would require to be utilised for the delivery of transformers to the proposed substation.

- 2.4.3. It was noted at the commencement of investigations that the existing Sizewell A and B Power Stations and adjacent National Grid (NG) substations which are in the general area of the proposed substation have historically been supported with heavy load access from Lowestoft but that in early 2018 a transformer of 166te nett was transported from Sizewell to Felixstowe. It is understood this movement took place via Felixstowe as Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft, was not available at the time of movement and agreement for its use could not be secured.
- 2.4.4. In this instance, HE were provided with information based on expected road mileages of possible routes from both Lowestoft and Felixstowe based on previous heavy load movements in the region and also were provided with information specific to the responses obtained from structural authorities as part of these investigations that are discussed later in the report.
- 2.4.5. HE have advised (letter dated 20.06.18 Agreement in Principle (AIP) Reference Number 490) that Special Order deliveries should consider the feasibility of access via Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft. HE would expect that this was utilised as the preferred port of delivery to minimise the road distance travelled by the AILs in line with the water preferred policy. They would only permit access from alternative such as Felixstowe if it can be proven that Belvedere Yard at Lowestoft was not suitable.
- 2.4.6. It should be noted that since the AIP from HE was received the situation at Belvedere Yard has changed as SLP are in the process of selling the facility. This is further discussed in Section 5.1. If Belvedere Yard does become unavailable it will be necessary to enter into further discussions with HE in respect to future delivery requirements and potentially updated AIP documentation.
- 2.4.7. The remainder of this report considers access from Lowestoft and also Felixstowe for the delivery of Special Order loads.

#### 3. Transport Configurations

- 3.1. Based on the information available to date the transformers considered (2 in number) within this report are assumed to be 282te nett weight and the dimensions are as detailed in Drawing Number EAI-GRD-DA-ABBSVC-102328 (Appendix 2).
- 3.2. Due to the size of the components it is not possible to transport them under the regulations governing Construction and Use (C&U) vehicles (44 tonne gross, 18.65m long and 2.9m wide). It is also not possible to transport within the Special Types General Order (STGO) regulations as the gross load will be in excess of 150te. It will therefore be necessary to comply with legislation regarding Special Order movements.
- 3.3. Based on information currently available it is assumed that a typical road transport configuration for the transformer would consist of 2 ballast tractors 1 pulling and 1 pushing a minimum 20 axle Frame trailer with axle loads in the region of 18-21te over a track width of a minimum of 3m.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 8 of 54



- 3.4. There are three haulage contractors currently operating girder frame trailers of sufficient capacity for the proposed 282te unit in the UK electricity supply industry with equipment able to carry a transformer of this weight and with the knowledge to position the unit correctly on the plinth. These are Abnormal Load Engineering (ALE), Allelys Heavy Haulage Ltd (Allelys) and Collett & Sons Heavy Haulage (Collett).
- 3.5. The trailer arrangements as provided by Collett, ALE and Allelys have been submitted to structural authorities for comment in terms of their suitability on various potential access routes. These have been considerate of the 282te transformer on 20, 24 and 28 axle girder frame trailers. The responses of the various structural authorities to these investigations are discussed in Section 8.
- 3.6. The specific trailer details are included in Appendix 3 of this report. However, it should be noted that the information provided by the potential haulage contractors is commercially sensitive and it should not be forwarded to other parties other than SPR for whom it is being provided as part of this work.

#### 4. Historical Information

- 4.1. Belvedere Yard on the south bank of the River in Lowestoft is the historical delivery location for the Sizewell A and B Power Stations and the Sizewell and Leiston NG Substations. The facility is discussed in paragraph 5.1 however, Wynns are aware that it was most recently used in September 2016 when a 170te nett transformer was delivered to be transported to the NG Substation at Leiston (near Sizewell).
- 4.2. The facility was previously also used in 2010 and approximately 2013 for transformers of circa 166te nett transport weight destined for Sizewell B Power Station.



Library Photograph 1 14 axle girder frame trailer with circa 166te nett transformer at Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft in 2010. SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 9 of 54





#### Library Photograph 2

Ro-ro vessel Terra Marique with circa 166te nett transformer passing through Lowestoft Bascule Bridge on approach to Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft in 2010.

- 4.3. Belvedere Yard was previously subject to an access agreement between the successor companies to the Central Electricity Generating Board (CEGB) in terms of maintaining access for roll-on/roll-off (ro-ro) vessels with the berth area being designed to accommodate the former Fisher Vessels (Aberthaw and Kingsnorth) which were maintained on Charter to the CEGB prior to devolvement. Access from Belvedere Yard enables access from the south side of Lake Loathing. This is necessary as the Bascule Bridges at Lowestoft prevents access from the commercial quays on the North Quay at Lowestoft to the south.
- 4.4. Although in the past transformers were also offloaded at Belvedere Yard and transported to Norwich Main Substation (traveling north over the Bascule Bridge), the bridge is now understood to not be able to accommodate the Special Order category loads required by the electricity supply industry.
- 4.5. Wynns are aware that in the past, movements have also been approved over the Mutford Lock Bridge at Oulton Broad to the west, this structure was reassessed approximately 10 years ago by Suffolk County Council and is now limited to 100te gross loads. It is expected that this will be a permanent restriction to all Special Order category loads seeking to be delivered to sites south of Lowestoft.
- 4.6. It is therefore clear that the use of facilities on the south side of Lake Loathing at Belvedere Yard need to be understood in terms of heavy load delivery requirements to sites south of Lowestoft in the Sizewell and Leiston area, including those detailed within this report for the Proposed East Anglia ONE North substation site. Wynns are also aware that early in 2018 there were limitations at the time for access at Belvedere Yard. It was necessary for a transformer that was being removed from Sizewell B Power Station, to be transported by road to Felixstowe. This was a transformer of circa 166te nett transport weight which was moved on a 16 axle girder frame trailer.
- 4.7. The area therefore has a relatively well understood history of AIL access and this has been used to inform the proposed marine delivery options and heavy load routes detailed within the report.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 10 of 54



#### 5. Marine Access

#### 5.1. Port of Lowestoft

- 5.1.1. A site visit and meeting with Associated British Ports (ABP) Lowestoft took place on 07.06.18 and ABP confirmed that the preferred offloading point for heavy load delivery continues to be Belvedere Yard. ABP confirmed that there is no value in offloading on the north bank as there are no suitable heavy load routes south over Lake Loathing. Unfortunately, ABP do not own any of the land on the south side port area and access needs to be agreed with private landowners. A plan of the port is attached within Appendix 4.
- 5.1.2. Belvedere Yard is within the ownership of Sembmarine SLP (SLP) who manufacture large offshore modules at their facility on the North Pier of Waveney Dock, in the outer harbour of ABP Lowestoft. Belvedere Yard is generally used by SLP as a storage facility to support their main operations on the north pier. It is not part of the commercial docks and main construction area and is not accessible to the public.
- 5.1.3. Over several years there has been inconsistent information available in terms of the long term availability of Belvedere Yard for marine delivery of heavy transformers due to the need to confirm any private access agreements with SLP by potential users. There has also been fear expressed as to the long term future of the facility with unconfirmed rumours that it could be sold for development. A meeting was also held with SLP on 07.06.18 at which they confirmed that in principle they would be willing to make the facility available for transformer deliveries. However, this would depend on what operations they have in progress at the time of requirement. As this specific enquiry for the proposed East Anglia ONE North project is in respect to deliveries some years in the future, SLP were not able to give concrete assurances that they will remain able to accommodate the proposed deliveries.
- 5.1.4. A formal written confirmation of the position of SLP was requested following the meeting. Unfortunately, they have now advised (email dated 02.07.18) that they cannot commit to the use of Belvedere Road for future use as they have decided to sell the asset, instigating a sale process through a local agent, which has generated a number of potential interests. They have advised that they will keep Wynns informed on the sale progress and advise when the sale has been completed so that the possible use of the facility can be investigated with the new owners. There is at present no indication as to what the land may be used for when it is sold and there is no guarantee that it will remain available as a marine facility.
- 5.1.5. There is a significant risk that long term access cannot be secured and it is recommended that SPR seek to enter into more commercial discussions with SLP, or the new owners of Belvedere Yard when they become known, to secure the long term availability for transformer deliveries based on the timescales, and also lifetime support of the new substation development. This is justified by the fact that Highways England presently requires access to be from Lowestoft in preference to Felixstowe as discussed in Section 2.4. The correspondence exchanged with SLP to date is included within Appendix 5.
- 5.1.6. In terms of technical operations the port of Lowestoft accepts vessels of up to 125m length, 22m beam and 5.7m draught on Mean High Water Neaps (MHWN) in the Inner harbour, which is sufficient for ro-ro vessels that could be used to delivery to Belvedere Yard. Mean



High Water Springs (MHWS) are 2.4m above chart datum. A plan of the port, including the location of Belvedere Yard is provided within Appendix 4.

- 5.1.7. It is understood that Belvedere Yard remains able to accommodate heavy load deliveries by ro-ro vessels only and is not suitable for delivery via coastal vessels and mobile cranes as there is insufficient water depth at the quay for vessels to get alongside. The berth has a cut area which is designed for access by ro-ro barges to enable discharge and has been used by the specialist barge Terra Marique several times. The water depth in the cut is currently approximately 2m below chart datum, having been dredged by the Port of Lowestoft in recent years. The berth can only be accessed at high water. The cut is known to silt up, in the past it has been as high as chart datum meaning spring tides were required to access the berth due to lack of water. Should the berth not continue to be maintained, it is likely to silt up again limiting available tides for discharge.
- 5.1.8. Ro-ro vessels generally make their approach in the channel which include opening the A12 Bascule Bridge and then layover on the North Quay opposite. When the tide is sufficient the barge is then manoeuvred over to the south side at Belvedere Yard to commence offloading.
- 5.1.9. There are limited mooring bollards on the quay, temporary mooring arrangements are required during discharge.
- 5.1.10. In approximately 2013, a geared vessel was used to deliver a transformer to a flattop barge located on the North Quay which was then used to tranship the transformer from the north side to Belvedere Yard.
- 5.1.11. Depending on where the transformers are being delivered from it may be feasible to have the road transport arrangement already on-board the ro-ro vessels. However, it is more likely that the transformer will be secured on the barge by stands and the road transport arrangement will pick up the load at Lowestoft. Depending on the size of the trailer required to obtain structural clearance (See Sections 8) it may be necessary to roll the transformer(s) off by flattop of Self Propelled Modular Trailers (SPMTs) to be then loaded onto Girder Frame trailers for onward road transport to the substation.



Photograph 1 Belvedere Yard. Cut area on the quay used for ro-ro vessels.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 12 of 54





#### Photograph 2

Belvedere Yard. Agreement with SLP (or new land owners) is required to enable access and to ensure the quay is cleared of materials as it is used as a storage area.



Photograph 3 Belvedere Yard western access gate onto Belvedere Road.

5.1.12. Storage is expected to be available within Belvedere Yard in the short term subject to agreement with SLP (or the new land owners) prior to onward road transport. The site is adjacent to Belvedere Road and as it is not part of the formal port estate as such, security arrangements may need to be considered with SLP if transformers are stored for any length of time prior to onward road transport. The exact location for storage would be determined at the time of requirement through detailed discussions with the port and would also be dependent on the period that storage was required, but in principle short term storage is not envisaged to be a problem.

#### 5.2. Port of Felixstowe

- 5.2.1. Historically Felixstowe has not been available for large heavy lift cargo, not due to technical limitations but due to it being a very busy container port and one off exceptional loads were traditionally considered to be a blight on core operational activities. However, in recent years a significant upgrade in container berth facilities has meant that older berths are now available for project cargo on a case by case basis. Container traffic still remains the ports core business and other activities are not permitted to impact on that business.
- 5.2.2. A site visit and meeting with Hutchison Ports Felixstowe took place on 06.06.18 and the preferred offloading point for heavy load delivery is Berth No 1. A plan of the port is attached within Appendix 6 with correspondence confirming the berth is available.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 13 of 54



- 5.2.3. Felixstowe can accommodate vessels of up to 11.5m draft on Berth No 1. subject to tidal ranges and is available for ro-ro, geared vessels and also for delivery via coaster cranes and discharge via mobile cranes. The length of berth available is advised as 200m. The berth "box" generally extends to 50m off the quay but can be extended to 90m on request to accommodate ro-ro discharge.
- 5.2.4. The port would set aside a safe working area to ensure the project cargo operations do not interfere with day to day operations with the port.
- 5.2.5. The port advised that they do not operate a specific daily charge for berthing but would consider each specific project on its own merits in terms of the time required for loads, any storage requirements and impact on the resources of the port itself. Storage is available either short term on the project quay or longer term elsewhere in the port.
- 5.2.6. A standoff distance from the quay edge of approximately 3.5m is required and the pavement loading in front of the crane rail has no load bearing as it is essentially a capping. Loads can be accommodated between 100KN/m<sup>2</sup> and 150KN/m<sup>2</sup> landside from the front crane rail, but this load cannot be distributed over the entire area because there is the potential for the berth to collapse.
- 5.2.7. The front crane rail can accommodate loads of 32t, but requires a narrow spreader matt to ensure no load is transferred to the capping.



Photograph 4

Felixstowe Project Berth 1 looking west. Standoff is approx. 3.5m; the pavement loading landward of the front crane rail has no load bearing. Loads can be accommodated between 100KN/m<sup>2</sup> and 150KN/m<sup>2</sup> landside from the front crane rail.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 14 of 54





Photograph 5 Felixstowe Project Berth 1 looking east.



Photograph 6 Felixstowe Project Berth 1



Library Photograph 3 Felixstowe Project Berth 1. Load out of 166te transformer February 2018 onto Terra Marique roro vessel.

5.2.8. For the recent (February 2018) delivery which was carried out using the specialist heavy load barge Terra Marique, extension ramps were required for loading to avoid loading inside the crane rail. Load spreading mats will need to be placed on the quay and the extension ramps placed between the mats and the stern of the vessel. This will bridge the

area of the quay where loading is not permitted. It is likely that a small mobile crane (approx. 70te) will be required to install the extension ramps.

- 5.2.9. While the load into the quay will depend on the trailer configuration used, it is expected that any likely configuration will be under 10t/m<sup>2</sup>
- 5.2.10. The discharge can take place on any high tide subject to agreement with the port.



Photograph 7

Felixstowe Project Berth 1 looking inland at available quay space for project cargo handling.

- 5.2.11. Due to the fact that the specific loading requirements at Felixstowe are complicated by the need to be considerate of the front crane rail it will be necessary for contractors to develop detailed lift plans in consultation with the port prior to use. This is considered beyond the remit of this report at this stage and in principle the port confirm access is feasible for cranes. However, Wynns have sought a specific high level load plan from Radius Crane Management that details a concept to meet with the load requirements detailed above. This is attached with Appendix 6.
- 5.2.12. Should a geared vessel be considered, the trailer accepting the cargo would need to be at least 3.5m from the quay edge. The lifting radius of the on-board cranes would need to be sufficient to cover this.
- 5.2.13. There are understood to be further long term plans for further development of Berth No 1. for additional container facilities but the port expects to continue to maintain the availability of areas of up to 120m of quay space for heavy lift cargoes if required. There is no definitive plan for this upgrade and it is expected to be several years into the future but it should be monitored in case there is an impact on future heavy lift capacity and availability at Felixstowe.
- 5.2.14. There are various routes available to exit the port complex to the public highway to either of the main port gates 1 or 2 including different out of gauge load access points to the berthing area from the port estate roads. The exact route used will be determined by the appointed haulage contractor with the port based on numerous factors at the time of delivery. These will include the time of movement and its impact on port activities that are scheduled to take place, the availability of accessible road routes through the port complex and the exact size of trailer procured for transformer delivery. The port have confirmed that they would be able to enable removal of any necessary street furniture within the port and also designate specific heavy load routes at the time of requirement. In principle the port have confirmed that there will be an access route available at all times but the

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 16 of 54



specifics would be confirmed once an exact movement time and technical requirements are understood.

- 5.2.15. It should be noted that there are several level crossings within the port estate. The port advised that these are within their ownership and control and no problems are envisaged for AIL access.
- 5.2.16. As there are many various route options available within the actual port complex they are not specifically discussed in this report although further information can be made available if required. Section 9.3 discusses the onward route negotiability from the Port of Felixstowe Gates No 1 and No 2 where they join the public highway.
- 5.3. Alternative Marine Access Lowestoft
- 5.3.1. Due to the uncertainty of long term access at Belvedere Yard Lowestoft, high level enquires have also been made in respect to another privately owned facility on the south shore of Lake Loathing. This is the quay known as the former Jeld-Wen site which is accessed from the A146 Waveney Drive. This quay is understood to have been used for load outs associated with the offshore industry of unconfirmed weights in the past, although not in recent years.
- 5.3.2. The quay is a suspended quay and it has not been possible to confirm if it is available for use. Historical information suggests that cranes need to stand back at least 2m from the quay edge.
- 5.3.3. The former manufacturing facility is no longer operational. It is understood to be privately owned but subleased to some point in 2020. The site is presently being marketed by Land Agents Arnold Keys.
- 5.3.4. An approach has been made to Arnold Keys to ascertain whether in principle their client would be willing to consider access for heavy lifts and a response on this remains outstanding. It would be expected that specific commercial agreements would need to be entered into and also that there would be a requirement for geotechnical testing of the quay and road areas to confirm whether heavy loads are able to work at this location. The land owner and agent do not have structural engineers and would expect any potential users to confirm that it was feasible by way of appointment of third party consulting engineers. The correspondence exchanged with Arnold Keys is attached within Appendix 7.
- 5.3.5. Further discussions with ABP Lowestoft would be required to confirm available water depths at the berth and whether any dredging may be necessary.
- 5.3.6. The site has not been inspected in recent years and in addition to marine access, that needs to be confirmed. Before it can be proven for use it would also be necessary to review the egress from the berth to the public highway through the former factory site. There is no guarantee that road access for larger girder frame trailers of 20 axles or more would be available both in terms of structural clearance and also in terms of physical negotiability.
- 5.3.7. It is also noted from being able to inspect the site entrance during June 2018 from the public highway that there would be a large amount of street and site furniture requiring removal at the site entrance.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 17 of 54

5.3.8. In summary, although there may be opportunities subject to engineering confirmation, the long term access remains doubtful due to land ownership and it is not considered feasible at this stage while alternative options exist. In the event that Belvedere Yard becomes unavailable in the future then access at this facility may be worth exploring further.

#### 6. Physical Restrictions Affecting a Road Movement

#### 6.1. General

- 6.1.1. The weight and/or dimensions of the transformers are such that they are only transportable on specialised transporters, the general arrangements of which are discussed further in Section 3. An abnormal indivisible load is one that is incapable of division into two or more loads by reason of expense or risk of damage, and which cannot be carried by a trailer complying in all respects with the Road Traffic; Road Vehicles (Construction and Use) Regulations 1986 (SI No. 1078) (C12) (S38) as amended ("the Construction and Use Regulations") or where the trailer does so comply, the total laden weight exceeds 44 tonnes.
- 6.1.2. This section of the report examines the general factors that have to be considered when assessing the suitability of road routes for the movement of abnormal loads with a more specific appraisal of the current status of the possible land transport routes detailed in Sections 8 and 9.

#### 6.2. Headroom

6.2.1. Movement is impossible unless sufficient headroom is available along the proposed route to accommodate the travelling height of the load. Generally maximum headroom of 5.03 metres (16'6") is maintained within the UK on major motorway and trunk road routes, but this is not guaranteed and the actual height is posted on structures, such as bridges and gantries, which can be seen in library photograph 4 below. The UK electricity supply industry and plant manufacturers generally work to a travelling height of 4.95 metres (16'3") to allow for a safe margin.



#### Library Photograph 4

Unmarked bridges provide a minimum height clearance ≥5.03m. Below this height bridges are clearly marked and transport arrangements necessitating due diligence during the planning phase of a project need to account for low bridge heights. *Source: Colletts Heavy Haulage.* 



- 6.2.2. The height of the load will be increased by the height of the trailer and any packing that may be utilised to give a gross travelling height.
- 6.2.3. Where restrictions are caused by overhead services such as telephone lines and local power distribution lines, it is feasible to raise or underground these along relatively short routes. Arrangements are made with the responsible undertakers. This is, however, not usually feasible over longer routes or where there are a large number of lines involved. It is usually impossible to do anything to raise low bridges, but steel gantries with bolted connections can sometimes be temporarily lifted.
- 6.2.4. Although there is no legal limit on the travelling height of a load, the Department for Transport does advise hauliers to inform the Regional Electricity Company's (REC), British Telecom and any other company with overhead service lines, of the route of proposed movements with a travelling height in excess of 5.0 metres. This enables arrangements to be made for temporary or permanent re-arrangement of facilities.
- 6.2.5. It should be noted, that the Electricity Supply Regulations 1988 refer to the minimum height for overhead lines. Part IV, Section 13 of these regulations states that the height above ground of any overhead line or wire shall not be less than a specific height at any point where the line is over a road depending on the voltages outlined below:
  - Not Exceeding 33000 Volts 5.8m
  - Exceeding 33000 Volts but Not Exceeding 66000 6.0m
  - Exceeding 66000 Volts but Not Exceeding 132000 6.7m
  - Exceeding 132000Volts but Not Exceeding 275000 7.0m
  - Exceeding 275000 Volts but Not Exceeding 400000 7.3m
- 6.2.6. It is recommended that overhead line authorities are approached to confirm recorded and safe height clearances for all wires above the often-referred to high load cut of point of 16'6" (5.03m). Just because a line is of a given height it does not mean that high loads will automatically be permitted to pass underneath due to flashover and safe height clearance requirements of the line owner. Further information can be obtained from the Health & Safety Executive Guidance note GS6 'AVOIDANCE OF DANGER FROM OVERHEAD ELECTRIC POWER LINES' (HSE Books 1997 ISBN 0717613488).



#### Library Photograph 5

Overhead services being lifted to accommodate the transit of a vehicle height in excess of 6.0m en-route between London Thamesport and Grain Power Station. *Source: Abnormal Load Engineering.*  SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 19 of 54

- 6.2.7. The transformers considered in this report to are able to be carried at below 5.03m reducible transport height and therefore no specific difficulties with overhead wires are envisaged.
- 6.2.8. No liaison with national or regional electricity companies or with British Telecom has been carried out at this stage.

#### 6.3. *Negotiability*

- 6.3.1. Assuming that sufficient headroom is available, or can be achieved, it is necessary to establish that the route can be negotiated in terms of the overall width and length of the transporter arrangement. Selection of transporter is often influenced by the load carrying capability of the route. If a large number of axles are needed in order to obtain the required load distribution on the road and bridge decks, this may result in a configuration that is unable to negotiate the particular route.
- 6.3.2. Where negotiability is restricted by the width or the curvature of the route, it can be increased by the temporary removal of 'street furniture' such as lamp posts, traffic signs etc., but normally little can be done if passage is restricted by more permanent objects such as buildings. These works are undertaken with the agreement of the relevant local and highway authorities.
- 6.3.3. The negotiability of the proposed routes are detailed within Section 11.

#### 6.4. Structural Capability and Highway Capacity

- 6.4.1. The load carrying capability of roads depends to a great extent on axle loading rather than total weight of the load being transported. The load carrying capability of the route has to be assessed in relation to the loadings that would be imposed by the total gross weight of the load plus transporter for each item to be transported. The factors to be considered are the axle and wheel pair loadings; the road crust; the effect of such loadings on bridges; underground services and speed. The tractor unit is normally considered as a separate unit in terms of imposed axle and wheel loadings. Indemnities are given to highway and bridge authorities for any damage caused, usually by the appointed haulage contractor.
  - A. Road Crust
    - 1. Road crust strength is important, but with the spread of load obtained with modern multi-wheeled transporters, it is not normally a problem, providing the road is maintained to a reasonable standard.
    - 2. Damage of the road crust especially at the fringes of un-kerbed roads can become prevalent during the construction phase of projects within remote areas. This effect can have a damaging effect on the available track width for abnormal loads due to the risk of wheels becoming sunken into damaged road edges or soft verges. Prior to the delivery phase it would be advisable to inspect the road surface especially at pinch points to ensure its compatibility to the abnormal load transport configurations.
  - B. Bridges
    - Bridges in Great Britain are designed and constructed in accordance with the loading standard set down in British Standard BS 5400-2:2006 Steel, concrete and composite bridges. Specification for loads, which in 2006 replaced the British Standard BS 5400: Part 2: 1978. This Part of BS 5400 specifies nominal loads and their application, together with the partial factors, to be used in deriving design loads. The loads and

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 20 of 54

load combinations specified are for highway, railway and foot/cycle track bridges in the United Kingdom.

- 2. This standard provides for two types of loading: Type HA and Type HB. Older bridges may not have necessarily been designed to these standards but that does not prevent them from being assessed for abnormal load carrying capability.
- 3. Type HA is the normal design loading in Great Britain suitable for normal vehicles permitted under the Construction and Use Regulations rather than for those used for the carriage of abnormal loads.
- 4. Type HB loading is suitable for exceptional industrial loads likely to use the roads in the area. It takes account of the loading that would be imposed on to the highway by a "standard" 4 axle, 16 wheeled HB vehicle, conforming to the dimensions set down in the Standard.
- 5. The HB Vehicle is a theoretical vehicle that represents an abnormal vehicle and consists of a group of sixteen identical wheel loads. A unit of HB loading corresponds to four axles and should be taken as equal to 10kN per axle; each axle has four equally loaded wheels. The overall length of the HB vehicle is taken as 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 metres corresponding to inner axle spacing of 6, 11, 16, 21 and 26 metres respectively. The effects of the most severe of these cases must be adopted. The overall vehicle width is taken as 3.5 metres. In all cases, the longitudinal axis of the HB vehicle is taken as parallel to the lane markings.
- 6. Unless the axle configuration of the transporter matches that of the "standard" exactly, it is not possible to say directly whether passage of a particular abnormal load would be permissible. Notwithstanding that it is known that a road meets a particular HB loading standard, it is necessary to access routes with respect to individual loads. However, if bridges have been designed to meet a known standard this greatly assists the assessment process.
- 7. In general terms the UK motorway and trunk road network is nominally designed to be able to accommodate 45HB units. Depending on the class of roads, and the age of a structure, county roads are often lower rated at 37.5HB/30HB etc.
- 8. For example 45 units of HB therefore correspond to a 180 tonne vehicle on four axles at the worst case spacing of those given above and with the vehicle fully aligned with the structure. None of this is precisely duplicated within any of the transport configurations or in the track geometry during transit of structures hence the variations indicated. Further discussion of current HB ratings on the proposed routes as advised by highway authorities is highlighted in Section 9.
- **C.** Underground Services
  - 1. When assessing the effect of weight on underground services, such as water pipes, sewers and service ducts, the loading imposed by individual wheels is normally considered.
  - 2. The weight that can be safely borne by underground services varies depending on their age and condition; the depth to which they are buried; and the strength of the road crust covering. All these factors have to be considered when assessing the suitability of a road for the passage of abnormal loads and assessment is usually carried out by the relevant authority or undertaker concerned.
  - 3. Risk to services can be considered in relation to the weight to which they could be exposed by the passage of normal vehicles permitted by the Construction and Use Regulations. This can then be compared with that which would be imposed by the passage of the proposed abnormal load movements, and with the pressure to which they may have been subjected by previous movements of abnormal loads.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 21 of 54



- 4. Experience gained by the heavy haulage industry generally is that underground services are not damaged providing that road crust strength is to a reasonable standard and that the depth of cover and condition of services are normal. In any event, the haulage contractor would be required to provide indemnities against possible damage as a result of the movements by the terms of the Special Orders.
- 6.4.2. The structural status of the proposed routes are detailed within Section 8.
- 6.5. Speed
- 6.5.1. A slow moving abnormal load can impose less impact loading than a relatively fastmoving vehicle permitted under the Construction and Use Regulations. This helps to mitigate the effect of the additional wheel loading imposed by the abnormal load.

#### 7. The Width of Highways, Fences and Verges, Overrun and Over-Sail

#### 7.1. Width of Highway

7.1.1. If the Highway Authority has maintained land at the side of the road, as well as the metalled road itself, that is strong evidence that the land is part of the highway. The rights of public passage and the consequential restrictions on the powers of owners to deal with their land as they see fit have meant that there have been plenty of disputes as to the width of particular highways. As well maintenance by Highway Authorities, the existence of statutory undertakers' apparatus such as telephone cables, electric cables and gas mains can indicate extent of highway.



#### Library Photograph 6

The services markers are a clear indicator that the wall forms the edge of the highway. Similarly manhole covers in the verge probably shows that the verge forms part of the highway.

7.1.2. If the undertakers have obtained wayleave consents from adjoining owners to place their apparatus in, say, a verge at the side of the road, that suggests that the verge is not part of the highway. If, on the other hand, they have not obtained any wayleaves, then this suggests that they are using their statutory powers and the Public Utilities Streetworks Code to lay services in the highway without the need to obtain consents of any private party.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 22 of 54



#### 7.2. Fences and Verges

- 7.2.1. The existence of a metalled road may be a good indication of the extent of the highway when such a road crosses unenclosed land such as a heath or common. It is no indication of the extent of the highway in other cases for example where there are fences or ditches on both sides of the highway the public right of passage will be taken to be the extent of the whole space between the fences or ditches even through the width of the highway may be varying and unequal and even though there may be a substantial amount of land lying between the metalled road and the fence. However it should be noted that the presumption that the fences mark the highway boundary can often be rebutted and confirmation of the highway boundary, where there exists ambiguity should be confirmed with the relevant highway authority.
- 7.3. Over-sail
- 7.3.1. Over-sail is a common occurrence when moving large components and therefore it is important to understand the law. The law that needs to be considered is the law of trespass which is defined as the unauthorised interference with the possession of someone's home, garden or other land interests. It is useful to note that trespass is not a criminal offence and trespassers cannot usually be prosecuted. They can, however, be sued as trespass is a civil offence.
- 7.3.2. The boundary of a property may be indicated by a physical marker such as a river, a wall, or a fence. The actual boundary may fall on either side of the boundary feature or fall along the median line through the boundary feature itself or bear no resemblance to the physical boundary feature. The starting point for establishing a boundary is the title deeds. Theoretically speaking, it is an established legal principle that a vertical boundary also extends from the subsoil beneath the boundary to the centre of the earth and also extends to the sky above. This means that ownership of property includes the airspace above it and also the ground beneath it.
- 7.3.3. There is established protocol for over-sail in the construction sector where an over-sail licence is issued as this is often an issue if, for example, a large crane is being used. An over-sail licence is an agreement which provides a land owner (and its developer) with the legal right to pass through another's air space. If a crane is used in a construction project the jib of the crane may well swing in and out of neighbouring airspace. Without an over-sail licence this could constitute a trespass and the land owner could be faced with an injunction. The licence should cover issues such as time of day (and night) that the item of plant may over-sail neighbouring land, the heights of the over-sail and the duration of the licence. An indemnity for any damage caused by the crane may also be included.
- 7.3.4. It is essential to try and negotiate an agreement for any financial compensation payable for the use of land which is either owned by another party or subject to rights in favour of a third party. As with any dispute, a reasonable approach can produce savings in terms of costs awards should the matter reach court even if the other party to the dispute refuses to negotiate with you.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 23 of 54



### 8. Structural Route Information

- 8.1. Routes from Lowestoft
- 8.1.1. The two routes proposed to site from Lowestoft are shown below:

#### Proposed Route 1 from Lowestoft

Exit Belvedere Yard onto A146 Belvedere at approximate OS Ref TM 5454 9262 Travel west A146 Horn Hill Turn left Tom Crisp Way Turn left A1117 Bloodmoor Road Continue A12 London Road Turn left B1122 Middleton Road Continue B1122 Yoxford Road Continue B1122 past Lovers Lane junction (Sizewell Power Station route) to Leiston Continue B1069 Park Hill, Haylings Road, Leiston Road, Snape Road Turn right A1094 Aldeburgh Road Turn right B1121 Continue via Friston to potential site access location of approx. OS Grid Ref TM 4048 6080

#### Proposed Route 2 from Lowestoft

As route 1 to B1122 then continue A12 south Turn left A1094 onto Aldeburgh Road Drive past B1121 and then reverse B1121 to Friston on Route 1

- 8.1.2. Suffolk County Council have advised (series of telephone conversations and emails as attached in Appendix 7) they are not currently able to confirm the proposed loads are structurally acceptable and more detailed structural assessments will be required to confirm whether access is feasible via all of their structures on the routes. It should be noted that there is no guarantee that an assessment will provide a positive result.
- 8.1.3. Wynns have asked for a formal cost proposal from the council for this assessment if this is procured via the councils existing framework consultants (Kier) and an initial high level proposal has been provided by the council. This would need further discussions and confirmatory quotations to confirm costs. The main points to note as detailed in the email provided on 18.06.18 are discussed below and Suffolk County Council have indicated that:

"looking at my current programme of inspections and reviews and looking at route 1 only which has 51 structures along its length of which 29 would need to have reviews depending on when they were last inspected they may also need to have a Pl, reviews cost approx. £800 each with Pl's ranging from £3K to £6K. I would therefore estimate the review process costing approx. £100k with assessments costing up to £25K each."

- 8.1.4. Wynns experience of commissioning surveys for other projects would indicate that the exact costs are uncertain and require detailed clarification but using the above figures the costs of initial reviews would be expected to be in the region of £23,200 as a minimum with further costs of up to £6,000 per structure for a Principle Inspection if necessary. Depending on the results of the initial review and inspection, it is possible that further detailed structural assessments of up to £25,000 per structure may be applicable.
- 8.1.5. No time scales have been provided but if this amount of works are required it will take a significant time to complete.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 24 of 54



- 8.1.6. These potential costs seem excessive based on both financial cost and the number of assessments required. It is not uncommon for specific structures of concern to be in need of assessment but blanket requirements for all structures on a proposed AIL route is unusual although unfortunately not without precedent. Wynns are, under separate cover, seeking clarification from Highways England and Department for Transport on the legal obligations of highway authorities for AIL considerations and what requirements are necessary as part of a highway authorities statutory duty as this issue is becoming more common for heavy AIL movements.
- 8.1.7. There are also wider issues in respect to the fact that Lowestoft to Sizewell is on a recognised heavy load route as it is included in Roads Circular 61.72 as Route Number 100 (Capacity D, 260te gross on 12 axles and 295te gross on 14 axles). A current link is below.

http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100303222626/http://www.dft.gov.uk/pgr /roads/tpm/tal/circulars/ular6172routesforheavyan4064.pdf

- 8.1.8. The statement by Suffolk County Council that sections of the A12 are not a heavy load route should therefore be questioned. Although the information in 61.72 can be out of date, it has never been withdrawn by the Department for Transport and the specific ways that routes should be financially manged and maintained are stated in paragraph 4.
- 8.1.9. As much of the route is shared with that required for Sizewell B Power Station there will be some common interest in route suitability with the power station and substation. This may be something to consider going forward if detailed assessments are progressed by way of a coordinated approach of the relevant stakeholders within the Electricity Supply Industry to reduce the overall exposure to assessment costs and prevent future repetition of similar assessments being needed.
- 8.1.10. It is recommended that a meeting is sought with Suffolk County Council Highways, Highways England, the Department for Transport, and possibly other stakeholders in the area to agree a suitable way forward in terms of structural assessments as it will not be possible to confirm access for heavy AILs to the site without further engagement on structural issues with Suffolk County Council.
- 8.1.11. Wynns have also asked Suffolk County Council if it would be acceptable for third party consulting engineers to be appointed to carry out any assessments. This has been acceptable in other areas of the UK for structural assessments in the past. The council have verbally advised that as long as they are suitably qualified, are assessing to the current Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) codes then this should be acceptable but written confirmation of this is required. The council would require a checked copy of the assessment calculations for their records. Wynns could carry out a tender exercise to obtain compliant cost proposals for structural assessment if required by SPR. Previous experience has found that this approach can offer time and cost savings to the assessment program.
- 8.1.12. Network Rail have advised (series of telephone conversations and emails as attached in Appendix 7) they are not able accept any of the proposed loads on Route 2 via the A1094. This is due to their structure crossing the railway line (Network Rail reference ESK/B/444) at Friday Street, Benhall (OS Grid Ref TM 3791 6002) not being able to accommodate the proposed heavy loads. Wynns have questioned whether alternative trailer arrangements or more detailed structural assessments would be worth undertaking to confirm whether access is feasible but Network Rail have advised that there are condition factors that cause

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 25 of 54



concern to the bridge due to longitudinal cracks on the bridge barrel and it is not thought that any assessments would change this result. Therefore if access needed to be via this route it would be necessary to enter into significant detailed discussions with Network Rail engineers with respect to potentially expensive remedial solutions. As an alternative route exists (Route 1) then the use of the A1094 should be discounted for heavy transformer delivery.

- 8.1.13. The absolute maximum capacity of the bridge has not been confirmed but Network Rail have advised that gross loads of 100te would also be problematic and therefore the failure is significant. Publically available information from Network Rail indicates that the bridge has a nominal STGO Category 3 capacity of 84te gross. Generally it can be assumed loads up to this weight will be acceptable to Network Rail and it may be possible to get heavier loads over subject to specific detailed review by Network Rail structural engineers of trailer arrangements including axle weights, spacings overall loads etc. but this is not certain to be the case. The information is subject to change following routine reviews and inspections by Network Rail and should be treated with caution.
- 8.1.14. The restriction could possibly have impacts on other access requirements for construction equipment to the site outside of the specific requirement for heavy transformer loads detailed within this report and it would be advisable to approach Network Rail to confirm any specific requirements as part of wider Construction and Use (C&U) traffic access to the site as part of wider Traffic Management Plans. This could include smaller AILs such as cable drums and heavy plant going to construction sites.
- 8.1.15. Network Rail have advised that Route 1 via Leiston is structurally acceptable via the B1122.
- 8.1.16. Network Rail have not raised any specific concerns in respect to the level crossings on route 1 at Darsham Station on the A12 (OS Ref TM 4050 6970), Middleton on the B1122 (OS Ref TM 4038 6850) and Leiston (OS Ref TM 4432 6286) in terms of their load bearing capacity. It is expected that any Special Order load will need to adhere to the standard caution when crossing level crossings in Special Order permissions as below:

"Before the trailer crosses any automatic half-barrier railway level crossing or any other railway level crossing, equipped with a telephone, the driver of the towing vehicle shall telephone the railway signaller of the intention to cross the railway with the trailer. The trailer and the vehicles used with it shall not cross except with the permission of and in accordance with the instructions of the railway signaller. After crossing the driver shall again telephone the signaller to inform him that the crossing is clear."

- 8.1.17. The remaining authorities who are statutory consultees on formal Special Order applications are detailed below. All the remaining authorities have confirmed that the route is structurally acceptable.
  - Highways England Historical Railways Estate (Jacobs)
  - Canal and Rivers Trust
- 8.1.18. Suffolk Police have verbally advised that the exact route management requirements will need to be agreed in detail with the police by the appointed haulage contractor closer to the time of requirement and will be guided by which route is advised as structurally acceptable to Suffolk County Council. This will include, but not be limited to, confirmation of movement times, escort requirements, temporary hold areas and any wider traffic

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 26 of 54



#### 8.2. *Routes from Felixstowe*

8.2.1. The two potential routes from Felixstowe are shown below:

#### Proposed Route 3 from Felixstowe

Exact exit from port to be confirmed but assume Exit Port of Felixstowe at OS Ref TM 2777 3421 Trinity Avenue Join A14 north At 14/A12 junction exit onto A12 north Continue to A12/A1094 Aldeburgh Road junction and turn right to join route 2

#### Proposed Route 4 from Felixstowe

As route 3 to A12/A1094 Aldeburgh Road junction then continue A12 north to B1112 Middleton Road and join route 1  $\,$ 

- 8.2.2. As detailed in 6.1 Suffolk County Council have advised they are not currently able to confirm the proposed loads are structurally acceptable and more detailed structural assessments will be required to confirm whether access is feasible via all of their structures on the routes. An estimated cost for the assessments has not been provided by the council to date on routes from Felixstowe and if a route from Felixstowe is progressed it would be advisable to include discussions on possible structure issues within the proposed meeting recommended to be arranged with Suffolk County Council.
- 8.2.3. The remaining authorities who are statutory consultees on formal Special Order applications are detailed below. All the remaining authorities have confirmed that the route is structurally acceptable.
  - Highways England Historical Railways Estate (Jacobs)
  - Canal and Rivers Trust
  - Network Rail
  - Highways England Area 6 (Kier)
- 8.2.4. The Network Rail restriction on Route 2 from Lowestoft detailed in 8.1.2 also applies on Route 3.
- Highways England Area 6 (Kier) have confirmed (email dated 05.06.18) that the A14, which 8.2.5. is strategic trunk road owned by Highways England, has three structures and these have been determined as able to accommodate the proposed loads. This was based on initial assumptions that egress from the Port of Felixstowe was based on egress from the port being at Gate Number 2 at OS Ref TM 2777 3421 Trinity Avenue. As discussed in 5.2 this is possible. However, there are also heavy load routes out of the port via Gate number 1 which is Dock Road at OF Ref TM 2865 3360. The loads would then turn left onto A14 and available records suggest that this would involve crossing over two Area 6 structures known as Ferry Lane (ref S-TM283343-1) and Dooley Link (ref S-TM282346-1). Wynns have asked Highways England Area 6 (Kier) to confirm whether these two additional structures are also able to accommodate the proposed loads and the initial response, which is to be formally confirmed, is that more detailed assessments will be needed on the two structures on this additional short section of the A14. It is not seen as absolutely critical as the loads would also be able to route via Walton Avenue which provides a link to Gate 2 from Gate 1 to avoid this short section of the A14 if necessary subject to approval from the Suffolk County Council.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 27 of 54

8.2.6. The comments of Suffolk Police as detailed in 6.1 should be referred to with the exact escort and traffic management requirements to be agreed by the appointed haulage contractor closer to the time of movement.

#### 9. Route Negotiability Information

- 9.1. Route 1 from Lowestoft
- 9.1.1. As highlighted in 8.1, Route 1 will require structural assessments. The route was inspected and is detailed in the following notes and photographs in terms of physical route negotiability requirements. It should be noted that the work to date assumes that the trailers generally expected to be required for transport of 282te nett transformers will pass structural assessment (20/24 axles) and the current route survey assumes 20-24 axle trailers will be required. It is possible that the structural assessments required by Suffolk County Council could potentially identify restrictions that may for instance require reduced axle weight and therefore longer trailers. In this case it will be necessary to confirm negotiability for larger trailers.
- 9.1.2. The route below commences from the exit from Lowestoft at Belvedere Yard as discussed in 5.1.



Photograph 8 Belvedere Yard western access gate. Load approaches camera. Negotiable.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 28 of 54





#### Photograph 9

Belvedere Road/Mill Road roundabout Vehicle moves away from camera and continues straight ahead. Centre island street furniture removal would be required subject to specific girder frame arrangement used for movement.



#### Photograph 10

Horn Hill/Tom Crisp Way roundabout Vehicle moves away from camera and continues straight ahead. Centre island street furniture removal would be required subject to specific girder frame arrangement used for movement.



#### Photograph 11

A12 Tom Crisp Way/Bloodmoor Road Roundabout. Load moves away from camera to exit roundabout. Traffic lights and railings are expected to require removal on the inside of the bend for trailers in excess of 20 axles. SPA could be undertaken to confirm access requirements if required but it will be negotiable with removal of street furniture and is not considered necessary at this stage of the project. SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 29 of 54





#### Photograph 12

Bloodmoor Road after Roundabout. SPA could be undertaken to confirm access requirements if required but it will be negotiable with removal of street furniture and is not considered necessary at this stage of the project.



#### Library Photograph 7

A12 Tom Crisp Way/Bloodmoor Road Roundabout. 20 axle trailer negotiating in the roundabout with 170te transformer in 2016. *Source: Colletts Heavy Haulage.* 



#### Photograph 13

A12 London Road/Bloodmoor Road Roundabout. Load moves away from camera to exit roundabout. Traffic lights are expected to require removal on the inside of the bend for trailers in excess of 20 axles. SPA could be undertaken to confirm access requirements if required but it will be negotiable with removal of street furniture and is not considered necessary at this stage of the project. SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 30 of 54





#### Photograph 14

A12 London Road/Tower Road Roundabout. Load moves away from camera. Negotiable.



Photograph 15

A12 at Wrentham. Load approaches camera. Negotiable with full occupation of highway.



Photograph 16

A12 at OS Ref TM 4579 7664. Load approaches camera. Full occupation of carriageway required. Tree pruning may also be required depending on growth at the time of movement.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 31 of 54





#### Photograph 17

A12/A144 junction. Load approaches camera. Street furniture removal required.



#### Photograph 18

A12 at Darham Station level crossing. Load approaches camera. Standard cautions apply as detailed in 6.1.8



#### Photograph 19

A12/B1122 junction at Yoxford looking south. Load on Route 2 comes from behind camera and turns left. Route 4 from Felixstowe as described in 9.4 approaches from right, turning right away from camera. This is the point at which the existing heavy load route to Sizewell exits the A12. Note the widened kerb designed for trailer overrun on inside of the bend when on route from Lowestoft from its historical use as the Sizewell heavy load route. Street furniture removal will be required.



9.1.3. A SPA could be carried out to confirm access for trailers in excess of 20 axles but the junction is expected to be negotiable for the proposed loads at present with street furniture removal dependent on exactly which trailer arrangement is utilised for transport and a SPA is not considered necessary at this stage of the project.



#### Photograph 20

A12/B1122 junction viewed from B1122. Load on Route 2 comes from right and turns left towards camera. Route 4 approaches from left, turning right towards camera.



Photograph 21

B1122 south of Yoxford. Load moves away from camera. Caution with trees and full occupation of highway but considered negotiable with appropriate traffic management.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 33 of 54





Photograph 22

B1122 Middleton Level Crossing. Load moves away from camera. Standard Network Rail cautions to apply as detailed in 6.1.8



#### Photograph 23

B1122 at Garden House (OS Ref TM 4173 6740). Load moves away from camera. Considered negotiable with appropriate traffic management and full occupation of highway. Caution also required with trees depending on growth at the time of movement.



#### Photograph 24

B1122 Leiston Road. Load moves away from camera. Caution with trees and full occupation of highway but considered negotiable with appropriate traffic management.
SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 34 of 54





#### Photograph 25

B1122 Leiston Road. Load moves away from camera. Caution with trees and full occupation of highway but considered negotiable with appropriate traffic management.



# Photograph 26

B1122 Leiston Road. Load moves away from camera. Caution with trees and full occupation of highway but considered negotiable with appropriate traffic management.



Photograph 27 B1122 Abbey Road in Leiston. Load moves away from camera. Full occupation of highway but considered negotiable with appropriate traffic management. SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 35 of 54





#### Photograph 28

B1122 Abbey Road Level Crossing in Leiston. Load moves away from camera. Standard Network Rail cautions to apply as detailed in 6.1.8. Full occupation of highway required but considered negotiable with appropriate traffic management.



#### Photograph 29

B1069 in Leiston. Load moves away from camera. Bridge is not marked as low and therefore will be 5.03m headroom clearance minimum. No difficulties expected.

9.1.4. Caution with overhead wires is required in Leiston, and indeed on the entire route as per the general observations detailed in Section 6.2 but as loads do not exceed 4.95m reducible height no significant issues are expected.



#### Photograph 30 B1069 in Leiston. Load moves away from camera. Negotiable. Caution overhead wires.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 36 of 54





#### Photograph 31

B1069 in Leiston. Load moves away from camera. Negotiable. Parking restrictions to be enforced to enable clear passage of the load that will be taking up the full carriageway width.



#### Photograph 32

B1069 Snape Road. Load moves away from camera. Negotiable. Potential new site access road to be created at some point on this road to the west.



#### Photograph 33 B1069 Snape Road. Load moves away from camera. Negotiable. Proposed Substation Access Road to be created at some point on this road to the west.

9.1.5. The final design of the Proposed Substation Access Road will need to be considerate of girder frame trailers. The exact size of trailer required to secure structural clearance will be determined by the structural assessments identified as necessary by Suffolk County Council discussed in section 8.



9.1.7. The next junction to the south at the B1069/A1094 is not accessible for 20 axle girder frame trailers and will require land take and remedial works to enable the turn to be negotiated.



#### Photograph 34

A1094/B1069 Snape Road junction. Loads on route 1 needing to get to the B1121 at Friston rather than Snape Road move away from camera and turn right. Loads on route 2 needing to get to Snape Road and B1069 to turn left towards camera. Not negotiable. Third party land take would be required.



Photograph 35

A1094/B1069 Snape Road junction. Loads on route 1 needing to get to the B1121 at Friston rather than Snape Road move towards camera and turn right. Loads on route 2 needing to get to Snape Road and B1069 to turn left away from camera. Not negotiable. Third party land take required.

9.1.8. A SPA should be undertaken to confirm land access requirements if access from Snape Road to the east of the substation is discounted.

# 9.2. Route 2 from Lowestoft

9.2.1. The A12 from the Sizewell historical route at Yoxford discussed in 9.1 to the A1094 is negotiable subject to removal of centre island street furniture. This route is shown in the opposite direction in 7.4 for loads approaching Yoxford from Felixstowe. It is not

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 38 of 54

considered suitable for further consideration at this stage due to the Network Rail structural restriction on the A1094 discussed in 8.2 which makes this route unsuitable for the proposed loads.

- 9.3. Route 3 from Felixstowe
- 9.3.1. As discussed in Section 8.1.2 Network Rail have advised that the A1094 is not accessible due to the structural status of the railway bridge. Therefore Route 3 is not specifically discussed in this report in terms of physical negotiability.

## 9.4. Route 4 from Felixstowe

9.4.1. As discussed in Section 5.2 there are various routes available to exit the port complex to the public highway to either of the main port gates 1 or 2. The exact route used will be determined by the appointed haulage contractor with the port based on numerous factors at the time of delivery. This section discusses the onward route negotiability from the Port of Felixstowe Gates No 1 and No 2.



Photograph 36

Dock Road Gate No 1. Load moves away from camera. Street furniture removal can be facilitated by the port to enable access in contraflow.



Photograph 37

Exit from Gate No 1 to public highway at Dock Road. Load approaches camera in contraflow with street furniture removal facilitated by the port. To get to the correct carriageway the central reservation crossover area will need to be used as the load will contraflow port gates.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 39 of 54





#### Photograph 38

Trinity Road Gate No 2 exit. Load moves away from camera. Street furniture can be removed if required by the port to enable egress in contraflow.



# Photograph 39

Trinity Road Gate No 2 exit. Load moves away from camera. Alternative exit is also feasible via wide loads gate.



Photograph 40 Trinity Road Gate No 2 exit. Load approaches camera onto roundabout.

9.4.2. Once out of the port via gates 1 or 2 the routes merge to join the A14 trunk road which is negotiable to the A12 roundabout. The A12 then becomes a mixed dual carriageway and single carriageway route and is discussed in terms of negotiability in the following notes and photographs.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 40 of 54





## Photograph 41

A12/Foxhall Road Roundabout (OS Ref TM 2646 4390). Centre island chevrons to be removed subject to which transport frame is selected.



#### Photograph 42 A12/Eagle Way Roundabout (OS Ref TM 2472 4522). Centre island chevrons to be removed subject to which transport frame is selected.



Photograph 43 A12/A1079 Roundabout. Load moves away from camera. Centre island chevrons to be removed subject to which transport frame is selected.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 41 of 54





# Photograph 44

A12. Load moves away from camera. Example of where tree pruning may be required depending on growth at the time of movement. Also full occupation of single carriageway road required.



# Photograph 45

A12 Stratford St Andrew. Load moves away from camera. Street furniture removal required.



Photograph 46

A12 Stratford St Andrew. Load moves away from camera. Street furniture removal required (bollards). Full occupation of the highway.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 42 of 54





## Photograph 47

A12 Stratford St Andrew. Load moves away from camera. Centre island street furniture removal required.



# Photograph 48

A12 Stratford St Andrew. Load moves away from camera. Centre island street furniture removal required.



Photograph 49 A12 at Farnham left bend. Load moves away from camera. Swept Path Assessment required to confirm access for trailers of 20 axles or greater. SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 43 of 54





#### Photograph 50

A12 at Farnham left bend. Load approaches camera. Swept Path Assessment required to confirm access for trailers of 20 axles or greater.

- 9.4.3. The route from Felixstowe via the B1122 (Route 3) is limited in terms of physical negotiability by the left bend at Farnham on the A12 and it is recommended that a SPA is carried out to confirm access at this location. This should be based on topographical survey data to obtain actual measurements rather than OS Mastermap information for more accurate reporting. It should be noted that the response of Suffolk County Council in terms of structural clearance as discussed in Section 8 will impact what size of trailer is required to utilise the route which in turn will impact on the physical suitability, or not, of the route.
- 9.4.4. Route 4 continues north from the A12/A1094 junction (Route 3) to the B1122 junction at Yoxford. This section of the A12 is discussed in the following notes and photographs.



Photograph 51 A12 at Carlton (OS Ref TM 3765 6565). Load approaches camera on Route 2. Moves away from camera on Route 4. Street furniture removal required. SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 44 of 54





#### Photograph 52

A12 at Carlton (OS Ref TM 3765 6474). Load approaches camera on Route 2. Moves away from camera on Route 4. Street furniture removal required.



Photograph 53 A12 at Yoxford looking north. Caution wires.



Photograph 54 A12 at Yoxford looking north. Load approaches camera on Route 2. Moves away from camera on Route 4. Considered negotiable for 20/24 axle trailers. SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 45 of 54





#### Photograph 55

- A12/B1122 junction at Yoxford looking north. Load approaches camera on Route 2 and turns left away from camera. Moves away from camera turning right on Route 4 from Felixstowe. This is the point at which the existing heavy load route to Sizewell exits the A12. Street furniture removal will be required.
- 9.4.5. A SPA could be carried out to confirm access for trailers in excess of 20 axles but the junction is expected to be negotiable for the proposed loads at present with street furniture removal dependent on exactly which trailer arrangement is utilised for transport and a SPA is not considered necessary at this stage of the project.
- 9.4.6. The route then joins Route 1 as discussed in 9.1 to site.

## 10. Summary and Conclusions

- 10.1. Highways England has provided Agreement in Principle for future Special Order deliveries to the substation to be facilitated at Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft. They would only permit access from other locations including Felixstowe via Route 4 if it can be demonstrated that Lowestoft is not feasible. If Belvedere Yard does become unavailable it will be necessary to enter into further discussions with HE in respect to future delivery requirements and potentially updated Agreement in Principle documentation.
- 10.2. ABP have confirmed that the preferred offloading point for heavy load delivery continues to be Belvedere Yard which is within the ownership of SLP and generally used as a storage facility to support their main operations on the north pier. It is not part of the commercial docks and main construction area and is not accessible to the public.
- 10.3. There has been inconsistent information available in terms of the long term availability of Belvedere Yard for marine delivery of heavy transformers due to the need to confirm any private access agreements with SLP by potential users. SLP have advised (email dated 02.07.18) that they cannot commit to the use of Belvedere Road for future use as they have decided to sell the asset. There is at present no indication as to what the land may be used for when it is sold and there is no guarantee that it will remain available as a marine facility. There is therefore a significant risk that long term access cannot be secured and it is recommended that SPR seek to enter into more commercial discussions with SLP, or the new owners of Belvedere Yard when they become known, to secure the long term availability for transformer deliveries.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 46 of 54



- 10.4. In terms of technical operations the port of Lowestoft advises that ro-ro vessels are required be used for delivery to Belvedere Yard and delivery via coastal vessels and mobile cranes is not feasible due to lack of water depth alongside the berth. Ro-Ro vessels generally layover on the North Quay and wait for the tide to be sufficient for the delivery vessel to be manoeuvred to Belvedere Yard for offloading.
- 10.5. The Port of Felixstowe can accommodate heavy load deliveries at Berth No 1 and is available for ro-ro, geared vessels and also for delivery via coaster cranes and discharge via mobile cranes. A standoff distance from the quay edge of approximately 3.5m is required. Loads can be accommodated between 100KN/m<sup>2</sup> and 150KN/m<sup>2</sup> landside from the front crane rail. The front crane rail can accommodate loads of 32t, but requires a narrow spreader matt to ensure no load is transferred to the capping. Due to the fact that the specific loading requirements at Felixstowe are complicated by the need to be considerate of the front crane rail it will be necessary for contractors to develop detailed lift plans in consultation with the port prior to use.
- 10.6. There are various routes available to exit the Felixstowe port complex to access the public highway from either of the main port gates 1 or 2. The exact route used will be determined by the appointed haulage contractor with the port based on numerous factors at the time of delivery including the time of movement and its impact on port activities. In principle, the port have confirmed that there will be an access route available at all times.
- 10.7. The road route information has considered access to site from both Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft and Felixstowe. There are presently structural restrictions on the routes and Suffolk County Council will require detailed structural assessments to be carried out on all of their structures on the proposed routes. It may also be feasible to appoint third party consulting engineers to undertake the assessment works although the methodology for assessment would need to be approved by Suffolk County Council.
- 10.8. Indicative costs for assessment of 29 structures on Route 1 have been provided by Suffolk County Council of between £100,000-£125,000. These costs appear to be excessive based on previous similar undertakings elsewhere in the UK and it is recommended that a meeting is arranged with Suffolk County Council, Highways England and the Department for Transport to confirm the exact requirements for assessments and to clarify reasonable costs. SPR should advise if they wish for this meeting to be arranged. No estimated timescale for carrying out the assessments has been provided by Suffolk County Council to date.
- 10.9. It is recommended that any assessments that are progressed are carried out as a collaborative exercise between SPR and other potential organisations within the Electricity Supply Industry who require heavy load access at Sizewell B Power Station and Sizewell and Leiston Substations.
- 10.10. Network Rail have advised that they are not able to accept any of the proposed loads on Routes 2 or 3 via the A1094. This is due to their structure crossing the railway line (Network Rail reference ESK/B/444) not being able to accommodate the proposed heavy loads. Network Rail have advised that there are condition factors that cause concern to the bridge and it is not thought that any more detailed assessments would change this result. Therefore if access is needed to be via this route it would be necessary to enter into significant detailed discussions with Network Rail engineers with respect to potentially expensive remedial solutions. As an alternative exists (Route 1) then the use of the A1094 should be discounted for heavy transformer delivery.

SPR I 18-952 East Anglia TWO & East Anglia ONE North Project Offshore Windfarm I AIL Access Re 19.10.18 Issue 1. I Page 47 of 54

- 10.10.1. Network Rail have advised that Routes 1 and 3 via Leiston are structurally acceptable via the B1122.
- 10.10.2. The position of Suffolk County Council in terms of the impact on their network will be crucial to understanding which route could ultimately be developed for access to the proposed East Anglia ONE North substation site. Based on the historical use of the B1122 as the heavy load route to Sizewell it is expected that this would be the preferred access but this needs to be confirmed by structural assessment.
- 10.11. The routes from Lowestoft and Felixstowe have been inspected in terms of physical route negotiability requirements and the work to date assumes that the trailers generally expected to be required for transport of 282te nett transformers will pass structural assessment (20/24 axles). It is possible that the structural assessments required by Suffolk County Council could potentially identify restrictions that may require reduced axle weight and therefore longer trailers. In this case it will be necessary to confirm negotiability for larger trailers.
- 10.12. The route from Lowestoft via the B1122 (Route 1) is generally considered negotiable subject to street furniture removal as identified within this report and no specific third party land access agreements are expected to be required. Although Swept Path Assessments could be carried out to confirm street furniture removal these are not considered necessary at this stage of the project as the roundabouts and junctions will be accessible with removal of street furniture where stated within the public highway boundary. This preferred route assumes that access to the proposed substation will be developed to the east of the site from the B1069 Snape Road. Access south and west of this location via the B1069 and A1094 and B1121 to Friston would require remedial works at the B1069/A1094 junction.
- 10.13. There will need to be careful consideration given to overall traffic management of the AIL and other traffic due to the fact that much of the route will be taking place on single carriageway roads where full occupation of the highway by the AIL will be required.
- 10.14. The route from Felixstowe via the B1122 (Route 3) is limited in terms of physical negotiability by the left bend at Farnham on the A12 and it is recommended that a SPA is carried out to confirm access at this location. This should be based on topographical survey data to obtain actual measurements rather than OS Mastermap information for more accurate reporting. This is not currently required due to the fact Highways England's preferred port of access is Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft but the access from Felixstowe could be reviewed in the future if it is not possible to confirm access agreements at Belvedere Yard with SLP.
- 10.15. Careful consideration of traffic management under police escort will be necessary prior to movements. The exact requirements will depend on the trailer selected for movement and it will be necessary for the appointed haulage contractor to confirm street furniture removal requirements, escorts, movement timings and other logistical details prior to delivery.
- 10.16. No specific work has been undertaken within this report in terms of onsite access requirements which will need to be considerate of the final trailer arrangements that are able to be structurally cleared on the route following the assessments detailed in this report.



Appendix 1 Maps



444		3	14/05/18	AB	B Fourth Issue.			1:35,000	Km	East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO		Org No EA1N-EA2-DEV-DRG-IBR-0		
		2	11/05/18	AB	3 Third Issue.	Prepared:	AB	Scale @ A4	0 0.5	1		Rev	3	Coordinate
SCOTTISHPOWER		1	08/05/18	AB	B Second Issue.	Checked:	PW	Source: © Crown copyright and database rights 2018. Ordnance Survey C This map has been produced to the latest known information at the time c	d database rights 2018. Ordnance Survey 0100031673. to the latest known information at the time of issue, and has been	J31673. Je, and hasbeen produced for your information only.	Indicative Onshore Development Area	Date	14/05/18	BNG Datum:
RENEW	ABLES	Rev	Date	Ву	/ Comment	Approved:	AH	Please consult with the SPR 0 To the fullest extent permitted errors or omissions in the info	Mishore GIS team to ensure the content is still current before using by law, we accept no responsibility or liability (whether in contract, rmation contained in the map and shall not be liable for any loss, d	g the information contained on this map. tort (including negligence) or otherwise in respect of any amage or expense caused by such errors or omissions.		Figure	1	OSGB36

D:Box Syncl/P84842 EA 1N and 2/P84842 EA 1N and 2 Team)E: TECHNICAL DATA/E03 GIS/Sizewell/Figures/Misc\_Figures/EA1N\_EA2\_IndicativeCableRouteSearchArea\_20180514.mxd















Appendix 2 Drawings





# Appendix 3 Potential Trailer Arrangement information

With thanks to: Abnormal Load Engineering (ALE) Allelys Heavy Haulage Collett and Sons Ltd

Note: This information is commercially sensitive and should not be circulated









This drawing has been produced by Allelys Limited in accordance with the instructions of the client for their sole and specific use. Allelys shall not be liable for the use of any information contained on this drawing for any purpose other than that for which it was specifically prepared and provided. Copyright © Allelys Ltd.









Appendix 4 Port of Lowestoft information

With thanks to: ABP Lowestoft





Appendix 5 Correspondence with SLP reference Belvedere Yard

# **Andrew Pearce**

_	
From:	Paul Thomson <paul.thomson@sembmarineslp.com></paul.thomson@sembmarineslp.com>
Sent:	02 July 2018 08:24
То:	Andrew Pearce
Cc:	Andy Manners; Peter Wynn; Colin Yaxley
Subject:	RE: AIL Access to East Anglia One Offshore Wind Farm Onshore Substation -
-	Delivery of Transformers at Belvedere Yard

Dear Andy,

Thank you for your note below and letter as a follow up to our meeting on the 7<sup>th</sup> June. I'm afraid at this time we cannot commit to the use of Belvedere Road for future use / projects as we have decided to sell the asset.

We have just instigated the sale process through a local agent, and have a number of potential interests, however I will keep you posted and advise when the sale has been completed such that you can follow up with the new owners.

## Regards

Paul Thomson Managing Director Sembmarine SLP Ltd DDI: 01502 548057 Switchboard: 01502 548000 Email: paul.thomson@sembmarineslp.com



From: Andrew Pearce <Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com>
Sent: 13 June 2018 17:13
To: Paul Thomson <Paul.Thomson@sembmarineslp.com>
Cc: Andy Manners <andy.manners@robertwynnandsons.co.uk>; Peter Wynn <Peter.Wynn@wynnslimited.com>; Sam Taylor <sam.taylor@rhdhv.com>
Subject: AlL Access to East Anglia One Offshore Wind Farm Onshore Substation – Delivery of Transformers at Belvedere Yard

Dear Mr Thomson,

Thank you very much for seeing Andy Manners and myself last week at Lowestoft during what was obviously a busy period for you. I hope the load out operation all goes smoothly and that future work is on the horizon. As agreed I am writing to you to seek to formalise the situation in respect to the use of Belvedere Yard for the delivery of transformers and I attach a letter including an outline of the project we are currently looking at.

Please note that this is in respect to this specific new substation connection only. I am not party to any future requirements that may be relevant to the existing Sizewell Power Station and Substations which are owned and operated by EDF Energy and National Grid but if you felt it appropriate I could communicate any matters arising to them as necessary.

If you need any further information please do not hesitate to contact me. I look forward to hearing from you in due course.



Date/13.06.18 Ref/W/2018/AP/0066

Mr Paul Thomson Managing Director Sembmarine SLP Ltd Hamilton Road Lowestoft Suffolk NR32 1XF

By email

Dear Mr Thomson,

# Subject: AIL Access to East Anglia One Offshore Wind Farm Onshore Substation - Delivery of Transformers at Belvedere Yard

Thank you for your time during our meeting in Lowestoft on Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> June which was very much appreciated. As discussed I would be grateful if you could advise with respect to the enquiry detailed below. As I explained there are two "Wynns" businesses with an interest in Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) access at Lowestoft. These are Robert Wynn and Sons, for whom Andy Manners is the General Manager, operators of the Terra Marique, and Wynns Limited, which is is a separate business I manage working as an independent consultancy. Wynns Limited often work for clients as part of future planning requirements, sometimes many years in advance of actual delivery of AILs. In this case we are working for Royal Haskoning in terms of heavy load delivery options for new substation transformers.

Wynns Limited have been appointed to assess the feasibility of heavy load access to a new onshore substation in Suffolk around the Saxmundham area which is proposed to connect to an offshore wind project. Part of our work is considering the situation at ports with regard to facilitating heavy lifts for the onward land movement of AILs and we need to consider all potential marine access options.

We are of course familiar with the SLP facility at Belvedere Yard, Lowestoft and the requirements of ABP Lowestoft for access for suitable vessels to the port from previous operations to Sizewell Power Station and local substations. However, as the land is privately owned by SLP we need to understand and confirm what the status is for use by our clients.

The transformers (2 in number) that we are investigating delivery of are advised as being up to 282te nett transport weight and we need to confirm the suitability of offloading facilities at Belvedere Yard. The delivery date for the transformers is not confirmed at present but will be at least 2-3 years away based on my current understanding. In consideration of this, I would appreciate your comments on the following matters as appropriate on the following;

## **Technical Requirements**

- i. Confirmation of whether access for ro/ro vessels continues to be available including the Terra Marique. Please see attached a generic drawing of the Terra Marique. The loading under the ro/ro ramp will be in the order of 5 tonnes per square metre.
- ii. Quay strength and maximum permissible ground loadings including any set back distances required. I understand from our meeting that there has not been any significant change to the berth in recent years but also that it has not specifically been maintained and as such clarification of loadings that remain acceptable would be useful.

#### wynnslimited.com

- iii. Restrictions on times available for operations (if applicable).
- iv. Confirmation that short term storage to hold heavy loads prior to onward road transport would be feasible. For example if 2 transformers are delivered in one shipment it may envisaged that the first transformer would be accommodated on or offloaded to road transport vehicles for immediate road transport to site and it may be necessary to store the second unit on the quay for a few days/weeks to wait for the second movement requirement.
- v. Availability of road access from offloading point to the public highway through the berth area to Belvedere Road. We do not see this as a problem but there will be a need to open the gates and provide secure access during operations.
- vi. Based on our knowledge of the available water in the port we do not expect that it will be feasible for use by geared vessels or Coasters/Mobile Cranes but if there are any specific requirements for working with cranes on the quay this would also be useful.

#### **Commercial Requirements**

In addition to the technical clarifications noted, which we hope will be confirmatory based on the previous use of the facility for loads to Sizewell I would be grateful if you could confirm the following:

- i. We are aware that the former CEGB Successor companies had a "Berths Agreement" which allowed for them to facilitate access to other companies' facilities at ports throughout the UK of which the ro-ro facility at Belvedere Yard was one. Unfortunately I have not been able to source any information that confirms the access rights of the CEGB successor companies, in this case EDF Energy via the former British Energy and Nuclear Electric organisations, as to any specific local access agreements with SLP as the landowner. I understand from our meeting that SLP are not aware of any formal access agreement but would welcome confirmation on this matter.
- ii. Would SLP in principle be willing to facilitate AIL access at Belvedere Yard and if so what would be the associated costs for use?
- iii. Is any formal agreement required to enable access or can this be confirmed at the time of requirement?
- iv. What notice period is required to enable access to be accommodated?
- v. As the movements are sometime in the future are you able to confirm that the long term plan remains for SLP to retain ownership of the berthing area as we are aware from historical records that there has been discussion within Waveney District Councils Local Plan for future changes to land use although I understand at present from information available on line that it remains identified as "Inner Harbour Port Area".

The project is presently in planning and development only at this stage and no movements are imminent, this enquiry should therefore remain confidential. I hope this is clear. If you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me. I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind Regards

Indfeence

Andy Pearce General Manager, Wynns Limited

Enclosed: Terra Marique Generic Load Plan

CC Sam Taylor, Royal Haskoning



						-
		-				
0						
Rev.	Date	Ammei	ndment	ts		
		R	evision	IS		
W	AND AND A REAL	Rов	ERT V EST	VYNN & ABLISHED	Son: 1863	s Ltd.
EVGIN	Albany	House, 4 Staffc	High S	Street, Eccle 21 6BZ	eshall,	
	Tel: (017	85) 8504	411 Fa	x: (01785)	85188	6
Client:						
Project:						
Title:		Terra	n Mar	iaue		
	R	amp I	nfor	mation		
		I	Desuit	a Ctatura		
			rawin	y status: 		
Scale (A4):	1:100		Drawn Aŀ	by: 1	Checl	ked by:
Dwg. No: TM-G	EN-RAMP		Sł	neet: 1 of 1	F	Rev: 0
© Wynn In	s Ltd. This dra any form or t	wing is no by any mea	t to be r ans, with	eproduced in nout prior writ	whole or	r in part, sent.
P:\Ro	bert Wynn & S	Sons\Terra	Marlque	e\Gener <b>i</b> c Dra	w <b>i</b> ngs\Ra	amp.dwg
				-	<b>2</b>	



# Appendix 6 Port of Felixstowe information

With thanks to: Port of Felixstowe Radius Crane Management
From:	Howlett, Neil <howlettne@fdrc.co.uk></howlettne@fdrc.co.uk>
Sent:	22 May 2018 07:56
То:	Andrew Pearce
Subject:	RE: AIL Delivery for Substation Transformers

Andy,

There are several access / egress routes that could be used; selecting the most suitable would be dependent on the width and length of the overall transport and cargo. The approach to both Dock gates are dual carriage ways, most internal roadways are dual or exceptionally wide to account for the heavy plant. All height or width restricting barriers are removable or can be swung out of position. Movement of such cargos is preferred (*but not limited to*) Sundays, as there is no haulage operation (*usually c3500 trucks per day*) and no Rail operation (*66 trains per day*).

As you suggest, this will be easy to demonstrate on the site visit.

Kind regards

Neil

From: Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com] Sent: 21 May 2018 17:16 To: Howlett, Neil Subject: RE: AIL Delivery for Substation Transformers

Neil,

Thank you for the rapid response. We will consider the loadings and get back to you if we have any further questions.

One immediate thing does spring to mind though in that I cannot see the proposed route away from the quay to the public highway marked on the berth 1 access plan. Is there a specific route you would require to be used for the onward road transport vehicles or would this depend on what operations are taking place at the time. This is perhaps something we could look at further on a site visit.

Many thanks.

Andy Pearce

From: Howlett, Neil [mailto:howlettne@fdrc.co.uk] Sent: 21 May 2018 15:31 To: Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>> Subject: RE: AIL Delivery for Substation Transformers

Good afternoon Andy,

Berth capabilities are as follows:

- Standoff is approx. 3.5 meters; the pavement loading landward of the front crane rail has no load bearing as it is essentially a capping
- Loads can be accommodated between 100KN/m<sup>2</sup> and 150KN/m<sup>2</sup> landside from the front crane rail, but this load cannot be distributed over the entire area (as a UDL load) because there is the potential for the berth to collapse
- Front Crane rail can accommodate loads of 32 t per meter, but requires a narrow spread matt to ensure no load is transferred to the capping

- Draft alongside is 11.5m
- Length of berth available is 200m
- Berth Box is 50m but can be extended 90m on request
- Distance between bollards is 24m, pull integrity is 50t
- There is 2500m2 of storage available alongside the Berth

I have attached a recent 'laydown drawing' as an indication of requirements, as the document was submitted by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party I respectfully request that it is treat as confidential. Also attached is a PDF of the available Project Berth (*known as Berth 1*)

I hope this information is of use, but if you require anything please let me know.

Best regards

Neil

Neil Howlett Container Division Support Manager Container Division

**Port of Felixstowe** T +44 (0)1394 604544 M +44 (0)7848 015486 howlettne@fdrc.co.uk

www.port-of-felixstowe.co.uk

# Please consider the environment before printing this email

This message represents the personal views and opinions of the individual sender and under no circumstances represents those of Hutchison Port Holdings Limited ("Hutchison Ports") or its Group Companies. The shareholders, directors and management of Hutchison Ports and any of its Group Companies accept no responsibility and accordingly shall have no liability to any party whatsoever with respect to the contents of this message.

This message (including any attachments) is intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above. It may contain information that is PRIVILEGED and CONFIDENTIAL and should not be read, copied or otherwise used by any other person.

If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, retention, disclosure, copying, printing, forwarding or dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please erase all copies of the message and its attachments and notify us immediately.

Hutchison Ports Europe Limited (Registered number: 03057136) is the ultimate UK parent company of the Hutchison Ports UK group consisting of Hutchison Ports (UK) Limited (Registered number: 03484910), Hutchison Logistics (UK) Limited (Registered number: 00696222), Felixstowe Port Container Services Limited (Registered number: 03120829), Harwich International Port Limited (Registered number: 02486146), Maritime Transport Services Limited (Registered number: 02398922) and Thamesport (London) Limited (trading as London Thamesport, Registered number: 02191687). Each of these limited liability companies is registered in England & Wales with a registered address of: Tomline House, The Dock, Felixstowe, Suffolk, IP11 3SY. This address is also the principal office of The Felixstowe Dock and Railway Comp.

From: Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com] Sent: 21 May 2018 14:47 To: Howlett, Neil Subject: AIL Delivery for Substation Transformers

Dear Neil,





0
Rev. Date Ammendments
Kevisions
ROBERT WYNN & SONS LTD. ESTABLISHED 1863
Albany House, 4 High Street, Eccleshall, Stafford, ST21 6BZ
Tel: (01785) 850411 Fax: (01785) 851886
Client:
Client:
Client: Project:
Client: Project:
Client: Project: Title: Terra Marique
Client: Project: Title: Terra Marique Ramp Information
Client: Project: Title: Terra Marique Ramp Information
Client: Project: Title: Ramp Information Drawing Status:
Client: Client: Project: Title: Terra Marique Ramp Information  Drawing Status: Scale (A4): 1:100 Drawn by: Checked by:
Client: Client: Project: TItle: Terra Marique Ramp Information Drawing Status:  Scale (A4): 1:100 Drawn by: AM  Drawn by: AM  Drawn by: AM Checked by:  Dwg. No: TM-GEN-RAMP 1 of 1 0
Client: Project: Title: Terra Marique Ramp Information Drawing Status:  Scale (A4): 1:100 Drawn by: AM  Dwg. No: TM-GEN-RAMP I of 1 0 Wynns Ltd. This drawing is not to be reproduced in whole or in part, In any form or by any means, without prior written consent.



# Lifting Operations Concept Drawing

# CL-0551

CLIENT :



**CLIENT CONTACT :** 

Andy Pearce | General Manager |

# SITE OWNER:



# SITE LOCATION:

Felixstowe Docks

Quay one Felixstowe IP11 3SY

# ACTIVITY:

Concept drawing to unload one number electrical transformer form vessel to road transportation positioned on the quay next to the cranes rigging position.

Supper lift tray to be utilised for lifting the transformer from within the vessel, once the transformer is lifted clear of the vessel and the working radii is reduced to 12.00 metres the supper lift tray may be disconnected to allow the lifted transformer to be slewed to the road transportation.

# PREPARED BY:

Adam Thomas 07887 802292

adamthomas@radiusgroup.co.uk

# SUMMARY OF ATTACHMENTS:

Crane Drawing CL-0551, revision P0 consisting of a single sheet

Liebherr LG1750 Dimensions

SLDB duty chart

Radius Crane Management Ltd, Equilibrium House, Mansion Close, Moulton Park, Northampton, United Kingdom, NN3 6RU Tel: (01604) 622865 Email: info@radiuscm.com www.radiuscm.com – Company Registration No. 6473182



.,		Notes 1. Client to ensure that the access, egress and working area is cleared sufficiently to accommodate the crane and transport									
rane rail the pile		<ol> <li>Client to ensure a suitable hard standing and level area to be provided to support all crane and transport loads imposed.</li> <li>The Lifting Accessories shown are subject to availability and it may</li> </ol>									
ito the		<ul> <li>be necessary to substitute components for suitable alternatives.</li> <li>Client is responsible for the structural integrity of the load to be</li> </ul>									
		Iifted.           5.         Client is to identify and confirm the suitability of the lifting points to									
		be utilised during the lifting of the load(s). 6. The average residual ground bearing pressure figure is based									
		upon the detailed mat arrangements. This figure can be potentailly reduced using additional outrigger mats.									
		<ol> <li>All dimension tonnes (te) un</li> </ol>	s in metres a less otherwis	nd all e stat	weigh ted. If	nts and ca in doubt	apaci - ASł	ties in m K!	netric		
NET S		8. Drawing to be	printed in co	lour o	nly.						
		Make, Model,Capad	city:	n/a							
K	. 5	Configuration: Boom Length:	SLDB 49.00						n/a m		
	Min 501	Boom Combination	SL s/c						%		
	Ű	Outrigger Centres:									
	- t	Counterweight: Hook Block Type:	3	170.0	0te plu te SW	us 101.50 1.2 x 5 s	)te S/	/L cwt	te n/a		
		Min Number of Falls	s:	20.00		24	neuv	o burne	n/a		
5		Load Data:	Electrical Ti	ransfo	ormer	20.00			to		
7		Hook Block Weight	ad:		20	2.00			te		
		Accessories Weigh Ancillaries Deduction	t: ons:		2	2.50 n/a			te te		
7/		Gross Lift Weight:			29	94.50		1	te		
/		Lifting Radii:	16.8	5	1	2.00	1	2.00	m		
		SWL @ Radius: Capacity Utilisation:	325.8 : 90.3	30 9	31 9	10.90 4.72	3 <sup>.</sup> 9	10.90 94.72	te %		
			04.12 94.12 94.12								
		Maximum Ground	Loadings	Cran	e rail						
		Item				Details	s		Unit		
		Max Outrigger Load	d: 5:	329	0.00	JU 10%		61.90 0.31	te m		
		Effective Surface Ar	ea:			12.00			m²		
	<del>a</del>	Bearing Pressure:		14	50	30.16		8	te/m²		
	/e	Maximum Ground	Loadings	lings Quay							
	dua	Item Details Unit									
	<u>a</u> g	Max Outrigger Load Outrigger Mat Dims	d: 5:	32	29 00	10% 3.00	36	61.90 0.31	te m		
	ist fr	Effective Surface Ar	ea:	24.00					m²		
	e ho	Bearing Pressure: Max Ayle Load:		14	50	15.08		8	te/m²		
	labl										
	Ava	P0 05/06/18 First concept issue Rev. Date Reason For Revision									
		radius									
		radius									
					2						
		Radius Crane Management Ltd									
		Northampton, NN3 6RU									
		Tel: 01604 622865 Email: info@radiuscm.com Web: www.radiuscm.com									
					_	031	-	OHS			
		(♥) <b>∛</b>	.   ( 🛱	900 Qua	)1 lity	14001 Enviror	1 nmental	1800 Occup Health	1 ational Safety		
				S 588	agement	EMS 666	6003	Manag OHS 5	ement 88666		
	<b>h</b>		_								
						(					
ď	5	FORS		ITE .		,					
X80		Client		١	 Nyni	ns Limi	ited				
		Client:									
		Project:	Flact	Po	ort of	Felixs	tow ar di	e schar	те		
			LIECI	l ift	ina r	olan co	nce	ot	76		
	t	Title:	ι	Jsin	g Lie	bherr l	LG1	750			
		Status:	Fc	or	Di	scu	SS	sior	ר ו		
		Drawn by:	A Thoma	as	Date	e:		05/0	6/18		
		Checked by:	N/A		Date	e:		n	/a		
		Scale:	NTS @	A1	She	et No.	-	1	of 1		
3 Radius Crane Mana	gement Ltd.	Drawing No. 1	CI	05	51-F	<i>'</i> 0	[	Rev	P0 I		







Bei Straßenfahrt hintere Klappholme 300 mm ausgefahren. On-road travel rear outriggers 300 mm extended. Pour déplacement sur route sortir les poutres de calage arrières de 300 mm. Durante la movimentazione su strada i travi stabilizzatori posteriori arrivano fino a 300 mm.

. Para circular en carretera los largueros de apoyo traseros deben de estar extraidos 300 mm.

В транспортном положении задние опорные балки выдвинуты на 300 мм.



$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		35 - 133	m 3	1,5 m	360°	12 x 12		170 t		max. 400 t x 20 m							
7         400         400         400         400         400         400         400         933         371         -	m m	35 m	42 m	49 m	56 m	63 m	70 m	77 m	84 m	91 m	98 m	105 m	112 m	119 m	126 m	133 m	m m
39 $400$ $390$ $370$ $328$ $301$ $z46$ $223$ $z46$ $123$ $z46$ $111$ $161$ $131$ $119$ $100$ $161$ 11 $400$ $400$ $400$ $400$ $399$ $387$ $376$ $328$ $301$ $z44$ $2218$ $179$ $161$ $131$ $119$ $100$ $18$ $20$ $400$ $400$ $400$ $394$ $384$ $326$ $290$ $239$ $215$ $176$ $158$ $129$ $116$ $100$ $22$ $24$ $357$ $371$ $328$ $332$ $300$ $242$ $210$ $174$ $157$ $128$ $116$ $100$ $222$ $224$	7	400	100														7
3         4.00         4.00         4.00         993         371         Image: Second	8	400	400	400	400												8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	400	400	400	400	393	371										10
12       400       400       400       400       400       400       400       300       328       301       244       223          12       14         16       400       400       400       388       387       326       327       301       244       223       178       161       131       119       100       16         18       400       400       400       400       388       387       366       326       299       242       217       178       160       130       118       100       18         20       400       400       395       381       373       361       322       290       233       213       175       158       129       116       100       22         24       357       377       357       348       339       313       272       224       210       177       157       128       115       199       28         30       254       233       300       294       294       296       237       211       161       150       124       144       14       99       28	11	400	400	400	400	392	370	328	301								11
	12	400	400	400	400	391	370	328	301	246	223						12
16         400         400         400         400         400         400         400         400         400         400         400         396         386         366         326         290         239         215         176         159         130         118         100         18           20         400         400         400         394         384         365         325         290         239         215         176         159         130         118         100         22           24         357         373         371         375         348         393         312         224         210         174         157         128         116         100         24           26         321         346         345         337         328         322         300         264         218         205         172         157         128         116         100         24           26         322         267         281         280         290         257         212         201         157         145         127         114         98         30           32         225         262	14	400	400	400	400	389	368	327	301	244	221	181	162	132	110	100	14
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18	400	400	400	398	387	367	326	200	243	218	179	161	131	119	100	18
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	400	400	400	394	384	365	325	290	239	215	176	159	130	117	100	20
24       357       375       371       357       348       339       313       272       224       210       174       157       128       116       100       24         26       321       346       337       328       322       300       264       218       205       172       157       128       115       99       26         30       254       293       300       299       297       291       280       237       201       114       98       30         32       225       267       281       280       262       2255       230       191       186       157       146       126       113       97       32         34       219       242       230       236       225       213       181       176       174       120       111       90       38         36       177       207       214       220       225       213       181       176       171       146       120       111       90       38         40       177       120       124       230       235       212       204       137       129 <td< td=""><td>22</td><td>395</td><td>400</td><td>395</td><td>381</td><td>373</td><td>361</td><td>322</td><td>281</td><td>231</td><td>213</td><td>175</td><td>158</td><td>129</td><td>116</td><td>100</td><td>22</td></td<>	22	395	400	395	381	373	361	322	281	231	213	175	158	129	116	100	22
26         321         346         345         337         328         322         300         264         218         205         172         172         157         128         115         99         26           280         286         231         300         299         297         291         280         249         206         197         165         154         127         114         98         30           32         225         267         281         280         279         278         288         237         201         191         161         150         126         113         97         32           34         242         263         264         263         265         230         191         186         181         153         143         120         111         90         38           36         177         270         214         220         225         212         204         176         171         145         137         118         109         88         40           444         177         190         183         135         151         152         130         124	24	357	375	371	357	348	339	313	272	224	210	174	157	128	116	100	24
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	321	346	345	337	328	322	300	264	218	205	172	157	128	115 114	99	26
32         225         267         281         280         279         278         268         237         201         191         161         150         126         113         97         32           34         242         263         264         263         262         255         230         191         186         157         146         126         112         95         34           36         219         242         250         249         242         222         186         181         153         143         122         112         93         36           38         177         207         214         220         225         212         204         176         171         145         137         118         109         88         40           44         177         207         214         220         225         212         204         176         171         145         137         118         109         88         40           44         165         172         168         169         143         124         138         132         118         119         104         98	30	254	293	300	299	297	291	280	249	206	197	165	154	127	114	98	30
34       242       263       264       263       262       255       230       191       186       157       146       126       112       95       34         36       198       242       230       236       235       225       213       181       176       149       140       120       111       90       38         400       177       207       214       220       225       212       204       176       171       145       137       181       109       181       109       181       109       181       101       45       134       105       84       44         44       174       190       189       197       190       187       167       162       137       129       113       105       84       44         48       165       172       168       169       170       159       154       130       118       113       199       93       75       566         566       141       152       153       134       132       118       113       199       93       75       566       66       68       64       6	32	225	267	281	280	279	278	268	237	201	191	161	150	126	113	97	32
36       219       242       250       249       248       242       222       186       181       153       143       123       112       93       36         38       198       224       230       236       235       225       213       181       176       174       145       137       118       109       88       40         44       177       174       190       189       197       190       187       167       162       137       129       113       105       84       44         48       165       172       168       169       170       159       155       130       124       108       101       81       48         52       56       141       152       153       151       152       149       143       124       108       101       98       75       56         60       56       141       152       153       151       152       149       143       124       118       113       99       93       75       56         60       56       124       128       123       120       120       113 <td>34</td> <td></td> <td>242</td> <td>263</td> <td>264</td> <td>263</td> <td>262</td> <td>255</td> <td>230</td> <td>191</td> <td>186</td> <td>157</td> <td>146</td> <td>126</td> <td>112</td> <td>95</td> <td>34</td>	34		242	263	264	263	262	255	230	191	186	157	146	126	112	95	34
38         198         224         230         236         225         225         213         181         176         149         140         120         111         90         38           40         177         207         214         220         225         212         204         176         171         145         137         118         109         88         40           44         165         172         168         169         170         159         155         130         124         108         101         81         48           52         56         141         152         153         151         152         149         143         124         119         104         98         78         52           56         141         152         153         151         152         149         143         124         118         109         95         90         71         60           64         164         164         116         114         109         107         108         104         91         86         68         64           68         86         84         83 </td <td>36</td> <td></td> <td>219</td> <td>242</td> <td>250</td> <td>249</td> <td>248</td> <td>242</td> <td>222</td> <td>186</td> <td>181</td> <td>153</td> <td>143</td> <td>123</td> <td>112</td> <td>93</td> <td>36</td>	36		219	242	250	249	248	242	222	186	181	153	143	123	112	93	36
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38 40		198	224	230	236	235	225	213	181	176	149	140 137	120	109	90 88	38 40
48       165       172       168       169       170       159       155       130       124       108       101       81       48         52       141       152       153       151       152       149       143       124       119       104       98       78       52         56       141       152       153       151       152       149       143       124       119       104       98       78       52         56       141       152       153       151       152       149       143       124       113       99       93       75       56         60       141       124       128       123       120       120       113       109       95       90       71       60         64       141       110       116       114       109       107       108       104       91       86       68       68       68       63       72       74       73       74       73       71       58       80       72         76       14       149       149       149       149       149       14       149       14<	44			174	190	189	197	190	187	167	162	137	129	113	105	84	44
52       141       152       153       151       152       149       143       124       119       104       98       78       52         56       134       139       138       135       134       132       118       113       99       93       75       56         60       1       124       128       123       120       120       113       109       95       90       71       60         64       1       110       116       114       109       107       108       104       91       86       68       64         68       1       1       104       105       101       97       98       97       87       83       65       68         72       1       1       1       104       105       101       97       98       97       87       83       65       68         72       1       1       1       104       105       101       97       79       77       70       71       58       80         76       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1       1 <td>48</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>165</td> <td>172</td> <td>168</td> <td>169</td> <td>170</td> <td>159</td> <td>155</td> <td>130</td> <td>124</td> <td>108</td> <td>101</td> <td>81</td> <td>48</td>	48				165	172	168	169	170	159	155	130	124	108	101	81	48
56       134       139       138       135       134       132       118       113       99       93       75       56         60       124       124       128       123       120       113       109       95       90       71       60         64       110       116       114       109       107       108       104       91       86       68       64         68       104       105       101       97       98       97       87       83       65       68         72       108       104       90       89       88       83       60       72         76       104       105       101       97       97       77       60       76         80       104       105       101       97       98       93       65       66       64       63       61       53       88       80         84       104       105       101       17       72       69       68       66       55       84         88       102       104       105       104       105       105       55       54       54<	52				141	152	153	151	152	149	143	124	119	104	98	78	52
64       124       124       125       125       120       110       116       114       109       107       108       104       91       86       68       64         64       110       116       114       109       107       108       104       91       86       68       64         68       104       105       101       97       98       97       87       83       65       68         72       93       95       94       90       89       88       83       80       63       72         76       93       95       94       90       89       88       83       80       65       68         80       65       66       84       83       79       79       77       60       76         80       65       66       64       63       61       53       88       80         84       65       66       64       63       61       53       88         92       96       65       66       64       63       61       53       88         92       96       65       54 </td <td>56</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>134</td> <td>139</td> <td>138</td> <td>135</td> <td>134</td> <td>132</td> <td>118</td> <td>113</td> <td>99</td> <td>93</td> <td>75</td> <td>56</td>	56					134	139	138	135	134	132	118	113	99	93	75	56
68       100       104       105       101       97       98       97       87       83       65       68         72       93       95       94       90       89       88       83       80       63       72         76       80       86       86       86       84       83       79       79       77       60       76         80       86       86       86       84       83       79       79       77       60       76         80       86       86       86       84       83       79       79       77       60       76         80       86       86       86       86       86       86       65       66       64       63       61       53       88         84       88       88       88       65       66       64       63       61       53       88         92       96       98       98       98       55       54       54       53       49       96         100       104       108       101       108       101       108       101       101       101	64						124	120	123	120	120	108	109	95 91	90 86	68	64
72          93       95       94       90       89       88       83       80       63       72         76           86       86       84       83       79       79       77       60       76         80            86       86       84       83       79       79       77       60       76         80             78       78       77       74       73       71       58       80         84               71       72       69       68       66       55       84         88               71       72       69       68       66       55       84         88               65       66       64       63       61       53       88       83       99       96	68							104	105	101	97	98	97	87	83	65	68
76       86       86       84       83       79       79       77       60       76         80       78       78       77       74       73       71       58       80         84       88       88       88       71       72       69       68       66       55       84         88       88       65       66       64       63       61       53       88         92       96       9       55       54       54       53       49       96         100       96       9       96       96       96       55       54       54       53       49       96         100       96       9       96       96       96       96       100       45,5       44,5       104         104       9       96       9       96       96       96       104 <td< td=""><td>72</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>93</td><td>95</td><td>94</td><td>90</td><td>89</td><td>88</td><td>83</td><td>80</td><td>63</td><td>72</td></td<>	72							93	95	94	90	89	88	83	80	63	72
80       60       60       78       78       77       74       73       71       58       80         84       88       71       72       69       68       66       55       84         88       65       66       64       63       61       53       88         92       96       60       59       59       57       51       92         96       60       55       54       54       53       49       96         100       104 <td>76</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>86</td> <td>86</td> <td>84</td> <td>83</td> <td>79</td> <td>79 70</td> <td>77</td> <td>60</td> <td>76</td>	76								86	86	84	83	79	79 70	77	60	76
04       64       63       60       00 <td< td=""><td>80</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>78</td><td>78</td><td>72</td><td>74 69</td><td>73 68</td><td>66</td><td>58 55</td><td>80</td></td<>	80									78	78	72	74 69	73 68	66	58 55	80
92       96       96       59       59       57       51       92         96       55       54       54       53       49       96         100       104       <	88										65	66	64	63	61	53	88
96       96       55       54       53       49       96         100       96       96       96       96       96       96         104       96       96       96       96       96       96         104       96       96       96       96       96       96         104       96       96       96       96       96       96         104       96       96       96       96       96       96         104       96       96       96       96       96       96         104       96       96       96       96       96       96       96         108       96       96       96       96       96       96       96         112       96       96       96       96       96       96       96       96         116       96       96       96       96       96       96       96       96       96         120       96       96       96       96       96       96       96       96       96       96	92											60	59	59	57	51	92
100       49       49,5       48,5       45,5       100         104       45,5       44,5       41,5       104         108       411       40,5       38,5       108         112       36,5       35       112         116       30       116         120       26       120	96											55	54	54	53	49	96
104     43,5     44,5     41,5     104       108     41     40,5     38,5     108       112     41     40,5     35     112       116     41     40,5     30     116       120     41     40,5     30     116	100												49	49,5	48,5	45,5	100
112     36,5     35     112       116     26     10	104													43,5	40.5	38.5	104
116     30     116       120     26     120	112														36,5	35	112
120 26 120	116															30	116
TAR ACTOR (ACTORAL ACTORAL)	120													TAT	154040 /	26	120



Appendix 7 Other Selected Correspondence



Our ref: HE AIP490 Your ref: East Anglia One Offshore Wind Farm Onshore Substation

Andy Pearce Wynns Limited Shaftesbury House Eccleshall Staffs ST21 6BZ John Powell Assistant Strategy & Customer Manager 9th Floor The Cube 199 Wharfside Street Birmingham B1 1RN

Direct Line: 0300 470 3306 20 June 2018

Dear Andy,

### AGREEMENT IN PRINCIPLE: - EAST ANGLIA ONE OFFSHORE WIND FARM ONSHORE SUBSTATION-HE REF: 490

Further to your email dated 23 May 2018, requesting provision of an AIP for future abnormal load moves into East Anglia One Offshore Wind Farm Onshore Substation.

I can confirm that an AIP can be provided for 2nr. Transformer moves from Lowestoft to East Anglia One Offshore Wind Farm Onshore Substation, the dimensions, weight and number of pieces as detailed below.

2nr. Transformers with a nett weight of 282te each (gross weight –approx. 409-500te gross on a 20-28axle trailer)

Delivery is expected in 2021 but to be confirmed.

This will of course be subject to formal application nearer the time at which the Highways England will consult with all relevant parties and take into consideration their views and requirements. Consequently, any Special Order issued is likely to include specific requirements relating to the day(s) on which movements will be authorised. The Special Order may also prescribe specific times during the day or night when movement will be permitted (which may take into account seasonal variations in traffic) in order to minimise traffic congestion, and disruption to other road users.

The AIP is valid for a period of at least seven years but with the proviso that should nearer, suitable access become apparent or feasible in that time, Royal Haskoning would undertake to investigate and assess its potential for future use, with a view to that new facility becoming the agreed access.







It would be helpful if you could ask the designated haulier to quote the above AIP reference when applying for the Special Order permit.

I trust this information is sufficient for your purposes, but please do not hesitate to get in touch if you require anything further.

Yours sincerely

Mone

John Powell Assistant Strategy & Customer Manager Abnormal Loads Email: john.powell@highwaysengland.co.uk





From:	Nicholson Katie <katie.nicholson@networkrail.co.uk> on behalf of Network Rail Abnormal Loads <networkrailabnormalloads@networkrail.co.uk></networkrailabnormalloads@networkrail.co.uk></katie.nicholson@networkrail.co.uk>
Sent:	13 June 2018 07:52
То:	Andrew Pearce
Subject:	RE: Q-634 AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Good morning Andy,

Please see the response from the engineer below. I hope this will be sufficient but if you need anything further please let us know.

I have used an Archie-M model to analyse this arch bridge with the proposed vehicle. As you can see on the picture below, the thrust lines are out of the arch barrel. Based on the information provided, at one time there will be approximately 100T weight on the bridge which is not acceptable on this structure considering its condition and the presence of longitudinal cracks on the barrel.



### **Many Thanks**

Katie Nicholson Abnormal Loads Assistant Abnormal Loads Help Desk: 01908 783 140



Abnormal Loads | National Records Group | Route Services The Quadrant | Elder Gate | Milton Keynes | MK9 1EN D 01908 783 140 | E Katie.Nicholson@networkrail.co.uk W Network Rail Abnormal Loads

From: Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com]
Sent: 08 June 2018 14:28
To: Network Rail Abnormal Loads
Cc: Sam Taylor; Daisy Wynn; Peter Wynn
Subject: RE: Q-634 AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Hello Sunil,

Thank you for your email. Although this is disappointing it is not a great surprise at this stage. Can you advise by how much the loads have failed and whether there is any scope for carrying out a more detailed structural assessment. I would be happy to speak to your engineers directly to understand further if this was something that we could look at or whether there are any specific concerns such as axle load, axle spacing's, gross weight etc. We would expect based on previous similar discussions that any more detailed assessments would need to be carried out by third party engineers if it was to be progressed. If this were a possible way forward would you be able to provide copies of the most recent bridge inspection and assessment reports?

We do not see any specific issue with the level crossings involved from a negotiability perspective and would expect the haulage contractor to cross using standard cautions but for the record can you advise who at Network Rail in terms of the regional team we should contact reference the level crossings should we wish to discuss any specific arrangements in more detail?

I look forward to hearing from you again soon.

Kind Regards

Andy Pearce

From: Maniraj Sunil [mailto:Sunil.Maniraj@networkrail.co.uk] On Behalf Of Network Rail Abnormal Loads
Sent: 08 June 2018 09:21
To: Andrew Pearce <Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com>
Subject: RE: Q-634 AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Dear Andy

After sending your proposed movement off to our engineers for assessment I can confirm the following for all three vehicle configurations.

Structure: ESK/B/444 Road Name: Friday Street, Benhall (<u>View Map</u>) Police Authority: Suffolk Constabulary

#### This structure is **UNSUITABLE**

Please not this response only applies to Route 2 and 3. Route 1 does not appear to be affected by this structure.

We advise you that crossing any bridge(s) noted above as being unacceptable, or failing to comply with any restrictions detailed above, could constitute a breach of your duties under section 3 of the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974.

We check the load carrying capacity of Network Rail owned road over rail bridges affected.

We do not check anything else, including:

- Load carrying capacity of level crossings
- Clearance to bridge parapets
- Clearance under a rail bridge
- Clearance to overhead wires at level crossings

We regularly inspect and assess our bridges and occasionally we have to revise the permitted load carrying capacity, as such I suggest that you contact us again closer to the movement to ensure that our bridges are still adequate;

### Many Thanks

#### Sunil Maniraj

Abnormal Loads Clerk Abnormal Loads Help Desk: 01908 783 140



Abnormal Loads | National Records Group | Route Services The Quadrant | Elder Gate | Milton Keynes | MK9 1EN

From: Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com]
Sent: 23 May 2018 17:08
To: 'RSGBRB@jacobs.com'; AbLoads; Lisa Wheelwright-Brown; <u>Abloads.Area6@kier.co.uk</u>; Hughes, John; Chimwemwe Banda; 'AIL@suffolk.gov.uk'; 'abnormalloads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk'
Cc: Daisy Wynn; Hyde, Nicolas; Teeluck, Precilia
Subject: Q-634 AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Dear All,

Please see attached a feasibility study that should be self-explanatory in terms of AIL access. I look forward to your response as soon as possible.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information.

Kind Regards



From:	Hughes, John <john.hughes@kier.co.uk></john.hughes@kier.co.uk>
Sent:	18 June 2018 16:23
То:	Andrew Pearce
Cc:	Chimwemwe Banda
Subject:	RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation
Attachments:	AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Andy

I was in ignorant bliss about

• 09933 A14/209.90// Ferry Lane 628320E 234360N

And

• 09934 A14/209.60// Dooley Link 628290E 234660N

But having now looked at some details I am seeing phrases and statements in the records that are not encouraging.

Both are Box structures with close wingwalls.

Dooley link is a closed box but Ferry Lane has a simply supported top slab.

From a strength assessment point of view. Both have historic problems with the wing walls and both had initial early (in the 1980's) HB assessments of the top slab limited to 25 units of HB (100te)

The record then shows a trail for both of further assessment and recommendation for Study.

This means that there is no quick answer to your question.

I will pass this over to Chimwemwe

but I doubt that he will want to do more than understand what the current capacities are of these two structures in terms of HB, without a formal 'Special Order' from HE.

The reluctance being that we will only get paid by HE if there is a 'Special Order' .

We concede that we should be able to obtain the current HB capacity without a special Order but from what I have seen even that will take some careful reading and piecing together of the assessment history of these structures.

#### Regards

John Hughes Bsc. C.Eng MICE Project Manager Structures Abnormal Loads Coordinator Highways England Areas 6 and 8

Kier Services | Highways | Woodlands Annexe , Manton Lane, Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK41 7NU T: 01223 255 255 | www.kier.co.uk

**Connect with us** I follow us on <u>LinkedIn</u> I like us on <u>Facebook</u> I follow us on <u>Twitter</u> I follow us on <u>Google+</u> I follow us on <u>Instagram</u> Our values are enthusiastic, collaborative and forward-thinking

Kier Highways Limited I Registered in England No. 5606089 Registered Office: Tempsford Hall, Sandy, Bedfordshire, SG19 2BD

**From:** Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com] **Sent:** 18 June 2018 11:59

**To:** Hughes, John <John.Hughes@kier.co.uk>

**Cc:** Chimwemwe Banda <Chimwemwe.Banda@kier.co.uk>; Hyde, Nicolas <Nicolas.Hyde@highwaysengland.co.uk> **Subject:** RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Hello John,

Thank you for your email below which is positive news. I have one additional check if I may. My initial proposal was based on egress from the port being at Gate Number 2 at OS Ref TM 2777 3421 Trinity Avenue. We met the Port of Felixstowe recently and this is indeed possible which is good. However, there are also heavy load routes out of the port via Gate number 1 which is Dock Road at OF Ref TM 2865 3360. The loads would then turn left onto A14 and my records suggest that this would involve crossing over two Area 6 structures known as Ferry Lane (ref S-TM283343-1) and Dooley Link (ref S-TM282346-1). This is assuming I have got the point at which Area 6 responsibility ends/meets with Suffolk CC at Felixstowe correctly identified. Can you advise as to whether these bridges would also be acceptable or the loads previously provided or not?

I do not see this as absolutely critical as the loads could probably route via Walton Avenue which links back to Gate 2 to avoid this short section of the A14 if necessary subject to approval from the local council but I wanted to confirm whether this would be the case.

As ever thank you for your help.

Kind Regards

Andy Pearce

From: Hughes, John [mailto:John.Hughes@kier.co.uk]
Sent: 05 June 2018 14:28
To: Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>>
Cc: Chimwemwe Banda <<u>Chimwemwe.Banda@kier.co.uk</u>>
Subject: RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Andy

#### AIL Route Investigation

Re: AIL Access Study - East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Areas 6 and 8 only have three structures affected/loaded by your proposals (Routes 1 to 4) and that is only for route no.3 The other routes do not use Area 6 and 8 structures.

All three structures affected/loaded have been considered and found to pass early assessment. All four routes are therefore acceptable to Area 6 and 8 Kier Highways.

#### Regards

John Hughes Bsc. C.Eng MICE Project Manager Structures Abnormal Loads Coordinator Highways England Areas 6 and 8

Kier Services | Highways | Woodlands Annexe , Manton Lane, Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK41 7NU T: 01223 255 255 | www.kier.co.uk

**Connect with us** I follow us on LinkedIn I like us on Facebook I follow us on Twitter I follow us on Google+ I follow us on Instagram Our values are enthusiastic, collaborative and forward-thinking

Kier Highways Limited I Registered in England No. 5606089 Registered Office: Tempsford Hall, Sandy, Bedfordshire, SG19 2BD

### From: Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com]

#### Sent: 23 May 2018 17:08

**To:** 'RSGBRB@jacobs.com' <<u>RSGBRB@jacobs.com</u>>; 'AbLoads (<u>Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk</u>)' <<u>Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk</u>>; Lisa Wheelwright-Brown <<u>Lisa.Wheelwright-Brown@canalrivertrust.org.uk</u>>; Abloads.Area6 <<u>Abloads.Area6@kier.co.uk</u>>; Hughes, John <<u>John.Hughes@kier.co.uk</u>>; Chimwemwe Banda

From:	Stuart Heald <stuart.heald@suffolk.gov.uk></stuart.heald@suffolk.gov.uk>
Sent:	18 June 2018 17:31
То:	Andrew Pearce
Subject:	RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

#### Andrew,

I'm sorry but I've not had a chance to look at this in detail, however looking at my current programme of inspections and reviews and looking at route 1 only which has 51 structures along its length of which 29 would need to have reviews depending on when they were last inspected they may also need to have a PI, reviews cost approx. £800 each with PI's ranging from £3K to £6K. I would therefore estimate the review process costing approx. £100k with assessments costing up to £25K each.

Hope that helps.

### **Stuart Heald BEng CEng MCIHT**

Structures Asset Manager

Suffolk Highways I Desk 39 (Block 1GF), Phoenix House, 3 Goddard Road, IPSWICH, Suffolk, IP1 5NP M: 07776190869 I www.suffolk.gov.uk/highways



From: Andrew Pearce <Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com>
Sent: 18 June 2018 16:57
To: Stuart Heald <Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Hello Stuart,

Are you able to revert ref my email below as I am now looking to complete my report to my client, an indication of what the costs may be should they wish to proceed would be very useful.

**Kind Regards** 

Andy Pearce

From: Andrew Pearce
Sent: 05 June 2018 12:36
To: 'Stuart Heald' <<u>Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>
Cc: John Pitchford <<u>John.Pitchford@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Colin Godfrey <<u>Colin.Godfrey@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Steve Merry
<<u>Steven.Merry@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Nicolas.Hyde@highwaysengland.co.uk; Daisy Wynn
<<u>Daisy.Wynn@wynnslimited.com</u>>; 'Sam Taylor' <<u>sam.taylor@rhdhv.com</u>>
Subject: RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

From:	Stuart Heald <stuart.heald@suffolk.gov.uk></stuart.heald@suffolk.gov.uk>
Sent:	04 September 2018 14:59
То:	Sam Taylor; Hassan Alloub
Cc:	Andrew Ross; Philip Rew-Williamson; Andrew Pearce
Subject:	RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation
Attachments:	Route 4.pdf; PI & Review Programme Sheet.xlsx; Route 1.pdf; Route 2.pdf; Route
	3.pdf

### Dear Sam,

Please accept my apologies for the delay in responding to you, unfortunately we are currently short staffed and I could not prioritise this work until I could bring in an additional resource.

I've now reviewed the structures on all of the 4 proposed routes and have attached a draft table indicating that there are 49 structures that need to be reviewed to BD101, of which 26 structures require inspecting and currently 3 have been identified as requiring a further AIL assessment.

Hassan will be starting with us from the 10<sup>th</sup> September and will be your contact for this project going forward.

# Stuart Heald BEng CEng MCIHT

Structures Asset Manager

Suffolk Highways I Desk 39 (Block 1GF), Phoenix House, 3 Goddard Road, IPSWICH, Suffolk, IP1 5NP M: 07776190869 I www.suffolk.gov.uk/highways



From: Sam Taylor <sam.taylor@rhdhv.com>
Sent: 11 July 2018 12:58
To: Stuart Heald <Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk>
Cc: Andrew Ross <andrew.ross@rhdhv.com>; Philip Rew-Williamson <philip.williamson@rhdhv.com>;
'andy.pearce@wynnslimited.com' <andy.pearce@wynnslimited.com>
Subject: RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Hi Stuart,

You have been engaging with our AIL specialists Wynns on the proposed East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO project. Following your latest email, my client has asked me to engage with you further on this.

With regards to route 1, I note that there are 51 structures along the route, as detailed in the attached. You have previously advised that we need to look at 29 of these. I'm not entirely clear as to the rationale for why you've eliminated 22 of these but my thoughts are that all gantry's and footbridges and structures of 0.9m and less do not need to be considered? By my reckoning though this still leaves 31? Therefore, for the avoidance of doubt can you confirm exactly which of the 51 structures you consider require further consideration and the rationale for this?

In order to allow us to start to think about these structures my structural engineer is asking for the following from yourselves for all 29 structures:

- Locations, co-ordinates or a plan
- Copies of any design information (where available)
- Copies of the latest General Inspection report
- Copies of the latest Principal Inspection report

We appreciate that some of these reports may be getting old but irrespective of age we would still like to see them please.

If you could consider my request and provide me with a timescale for when you'll be able to provide this data please. My client has asked me to let you know that we have a planning performance agreement on this project and as such there is the opportunity for you to bring in extra resource to undertake some of this work and recharge costs.

I hope this is all clear but please feel free to revert if not. I'll try and call you later in the week to check on progress.

Regards, Sam

#### Sam Taylor, BEng (Hons), MCIHT, MSoRSA Principal Transport Planner, Transport UK

T 01733 373607 | E sam.taylor@rhdhv.com | W www.royalhaskoningdhv.com HaskoningDHV UK Ltd, a company of Royal HaskoningDHV | Rightwell House, Bretton, Peterborough, PE3 8DW, UK Registered Office: Rightwell House, Bretton, Peterborough PE3 8DW | Registered in England 1336844



From: Stuart Heald [mailto:Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk]
Sent: 09 July 2018 13:22
To: Peter Wynn <<u>Peter.Wynn@wynnslimited.com</u>>; Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>>
Cc: Colin Godfrey <<u>Colin.Godfrey@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>
Subject: RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Peter,

As requested the following list of 55 structures on all four of your proposed routes (90 structures identified) either have or will need a structural review (structural details of each structure on each route are also attached for reference).

Structural reviews are required on highways structure that carry the road on which the load is traveling and have spans greater than 0.9m or have a retained height greater than 1.35m. The level and complexity of the review will dependent on the size and structural form of the asset and may need to be preceded by a Principal Inspection (PI) to determine if the assets condition has altered significantly enough to invalidate its design or assessed capacity. Structural reviews will then determine if the current design or assessment capacity is still valid and set the parameters of any further assessment if needed.

The above procedure follows guidance contained in the ACOP 'Well-Managed Highways Infrastructure' as well as the DMRB. While 'Suffolk Highways' has a PI, Structural Review and Assessment programme following this guidance any acceleration required to accommodate your clients requirements would need to be financed by them. As Andrew has correctly noted below, you can either commission 'Suffolk Highways' to undertake this work or another consultant, however my costs as TAA will need to be reimbursed, whichever route they propose.

Bridge
Code
102
103
110
114
151
153
166
183
354
384
479
538
559
579
632
633
643
671
693
746
826
861
894
1035
1040
1041
1094
1109
1115
1123
1125
1151
1224
1334
1354
1370
1418
1/126
1400
1492

1493
1543
1574
1600
1637
1650
1829
1836
1857
1893
2219
2513
2817
2818
2835
2877

# Stuart Heald BEng CEng MCIHT

Structures Asset Manager

Suffolk Highways I Desk 39 (Block 1GF), Phoenix House, 3 Goddard Road, IPSWICH, Suffolk, IP1 5NP M: 07776190869 I www.suffolk.gov.uk/highways



From: Peter Wynn <<u>Peter.Wynn@wynnslimited.com</u>>
Sent: 05 July 2018 11:49
To: Stuart Heald <<u>Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>
Cc: Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>>; Daisy Wynn <<u>Daisy.Wynn@wynnslimited.com</u>>;
sam.taylor@rhdhv.com
Subject: FW: AlL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation
Importance: High

Good morning Stuart,

Thank you for your time on the telephone earlier. As I mentioned, we have an urgent request from our client.

Can you please provide a list of the 29 structures you are concerned about and also, what your rationale is for selecting these structures, or alternatively, deselecting the others.

If there is any chance of getting me an answer on this by midday Monday that would be great!

Many thanks and kind regards

Peter



From: Stuart Heald [mailto:Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk]
Sent: 18 June 2018 17:31
To: Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>>
Subject: RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

### Andrew,

I'm sorry but I've not had a chance to look at this in detail, however looking at my current programme of inspections and reviews and looking at route 1 only which has 51 structures along its length of which 29 would need to have reviews depending on when they were last inspected they may also need to have a PI, reviews cost approx. £800 each with PI's ranging from £3K to £6K. I would therefore estimate the review process costing approx. £100k with assessments costing up to £25K each.

Hope that helps.

Stuart Heald BEng CEng MCIHT Structures Asset Manager

Suffolk Highways I Desk 39 (Block 1GF), Phoenix House, 3 Goddard Road, IPSWICH, Suffolk, IP1 5NP M: 07776190869 I www.suffolk.gov.uk/highways



From: Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>>
Sent: 18 June 2018 16:57
To: Stuart Heald <<u>Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>
Subject: FW: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

#### Hello Stuart,

Are you able to revert ref my email below as I am now looking to complete my report to my client, an indication of what the costs may be should they wish to proceed would be very useful.

Kind Regards

#### Andy Pearce

From: Andrew Pearce
Sent: 05 June 2018 12:36
To: 'Stuart Heald' <<u>Stuart.Heald@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>
Cc: John Pitchford <<u>John.Pitchford@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Colin Godfrey <<u>Colin.Godfrey@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Steve Merry
<<u>Steven.Merry@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Nicolas.Hyde@highwaysengland.co.uk; Daisy Wynn
<<u>Daisy.Wynn@wynnslimited.com</u>>; 'Sam Taylor' <<u>sam.taylor@rhdhv.com</u>>
Subject: RE: AlL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Stuart,

Thank you for your email below and your time during our telephone conversation this morning. As we discussed there are many aspects to heavy load access routes on a strategic level nationwide that warrant further detailed discussions over time. If you wanted to outline some of your thoughts specific to those in Suffolk for Sizewell and Bramford Substations I would be willing to forward them to National Grid under separate cover.

In respect to the specific enquiry for the East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation that has led to our discussions I understand that it is not at presently possible to confirm the status of the potential routes to the new substation as far as Suffolk County Council is concerned unless a more detailed review of the structural capacity of the routes is carried out. With this in mind can you please advise on the following two possible ways forward:

- 1. Could you please provide a cost proposal including time scales to carry out a structural review of the routes and structures for the loads previously provided. I understand that this would be carried out by consultants working on behalf of Suffolk Highways.
- 2. If as an alternative to using the councils own consultants, potentially for costs or timescale reasons, we and our client were to approach third party consulting engineers to undertake the necessary assessments we would aim to utilise the scope of work outlined below which is a model we have used elsewhere when assessments have been necessary. We would not wish to progress any detailed works without an indication that what we are proposing is acceptable to Suffolk County Council and I would be grateful if you could confirm that this approach would be suitable in principle.

#### Proposed Scope of Work for assessment

- 1. Retrieve and review all available information made available by Suffolk County Council/Suffolk Highways in terms of historical design and assessment records of the structure known as Haven Bridge in Boston.
- 2. Confirm and agree the process to achieve technical approval on the manner in which the assessment will be carried out to the satisfaction of Suffolk County Council/Suffolk Highways in as Technical Approval Authority including production of Approval In Principle (AIP) and Check Certificate information.
- 3. Carry out assessment of the structures and an assessment check of that structure against the vehicle details provided (See 4) based on the AIP to be agreed with Suffolk County Council/Suffolk Highways in.
- 4. Account for potential loading requirements considerate of 282te transformers. Please base your quotation on the need to consider the potential trailer arrangements attached which are highlighted below for

quotation purposes. The exact trailer arrangements to be included in the assessment will be reconfirmed with potential haulage contractors prior to formal commencement of works.

- TRAILER ARRANGMENTS TBC but for current purposes assume as already provided
- 5. In the event that the structure(s) lacks capacity to accept the proposed loadings then advise as to the feasibility of temporary measures that may be considered to enable access, for example temporary removal of pulling tractor unit or increasing the length of the drawbar. Note this option will only be needed if the initial assessment does not produce a satisfactory result.
- 6. Provide a written report detailing and interpreting the assessment results including advice on any actions required to enable access and along with the appropriate assessment check certificates.

Could you please provide a breakdown of costs to account for the works to be considered.

*Could you also advise of expected timescales for this work to be completed from any formal instruction to proceed.* 

Although we do not at this stage anticipate a specific project meeting to commence this work could you also provide a separate cost for attendance at a single meeting (assume Ipswich) to discuss assessment methodology with Suffolk County Council/Suffolk Highways in.

Would it be possible for you to send additional information on the bridges you advise we will need to consider in terms of their location and initial design information in terms of length of span, method of support, HB rating and skew angle. Ideally if we are able to have sight of any previous inspection and assessment reports this may help us get a better understanding of the bridges.

I trust that this is acceptable and look forward to hearing from you again soon but I am happy to discuss further if you wish.

Kind Regards



Sent: 24 May 2018 15:30 To: Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>>; <u>Nicolas.Hyde@highwaysengland.co.uk</u> Cc: John Pitchford <<u>John.Pitchford@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Colin Godfrey <<u>Colin.Godfrey@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; Steve Merry <<u>Steven.Merry@suffolk.gov.uk</u>> Subject: FW: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation Importance: High

Dear Andy,

I'm more than happy to assist you with this feasibility study, but would need to recharge you / or your client for our time. Could you please consider this and get back to us.

Since the national abnormal load routes seam to have become obsolete, in light of the Highways England's 'Water Preferred Policy' Suffolk County Council alongside other highway authorities will need to look a how we manage the movement of SO vehicles in the future.

Since our highway structures have not been designed, assessed or maintained to accommodate such loads, we would insist that each structure affected by any proposed movement should be Structurally Reviewed to BD101 following a Principal Inspection. The structural review will then determine if further assessment for abnormal load movements to BD86 is required.

We are currently undertaking a rolling programme of PI's, Structural Reviews and assessments on our strategic structures. However, if structures affected by a proposed movement do not have a valid review, the haulier can commission a review and possible assessment, but we would retain our technical approval role under BD2.

Nick,

It would be useful for us to explore this approach throughout Suffolk, East Anglian and possibly nationally your thoughts would be appreciated.

# Stuart Heald BEng CEng MCIHT

Structures Asset Manager

Suffolk Highways I Desk 39 (Block 1GF), Phoenix House, 3 Goddard Road, IPSWICH, Suffolk, IP1 5NP M: 07776190869 I www.suffolk.gov.uk/highways



From: Andrew Pearce <<u>Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com</u>>

Sent: 23 May 2018 17:08

**To:** 'RSGBRB@jacobs.com' <<u>RSGBRB@jacobs.com</u>>; 'AbLoads (<u>Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk</u>)'

<<u>Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk</u>>; Lisa Wheelwright-Brown <<u>Lisa.Wheelwright-Brown@canalrivertrust.org.uk</u>>; Abloads.Area6@kier.co.uk; Hughes, John <John.Hughes@kier.co.uk>; Chimwemwe Banda

<<u>Chimwemwe.Banda@kier.co.uk</u>>; RM Abnormal Loads <<u>AIL@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>;

'abnormalloads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk' <<u>abnormalloads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk</u>>

**Cc:** Daisy Wynn <<u>Daisy.Wynn@wynnslimited.com</u>>; Hyde, Nicolas <<u>Nicolas.Hyde@highwaysengland.co.uk</u>>; Teeluck, Precilia <<u>Precilia.Teeluck@highwaysengland.co.uk</u>>

Subject: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Dear All,

Please see attached a feasibility study that should be self-explanatory in terms of AIL access. I look forward to your response as soon as possible.

Bridge Code	Ref	Name	Road Number	Easting	Northing	Route	PI Level	BD101 Review	C&U Assessment	BD86 Assessment
							Carrys or retains road SOV travelling on			
							Span >1.49m or retaining Ht >1.49m	Carrys or retains road SOV		
							(Level depends on size and structural	travelling on, span >0.9m or	Assessment require	nents specified
							complexity)	retained Ht >1.35m	within BD101 review	V
1	3/92//	MARLESFORD	A12	632722	257730	3,4	High (15/16)	$\checkmark$		
103	/60/04/	SNAPE WATERING	A1094	638273	259888	2,3	High (15/16)	$\checkmark$		
110	/29/09/	VALLEY FARM	A12	648229	281588	1,2	N/A	$\checkmark$		
114	3/100//	LODGE BRIDGE YOXFORD	A12	639981	269050	1,2	Medium (14/15)	$\checkmark$		
151	/19/20/	BLACK ARCH WRENTHAM	A12	649907	283187	1,2	Low (20/21)	$\checkmark$		
153	3/86/5/	BEACON HILL FARM ACC	A12	624520	247658	3,4	High (16/17)	$\checkmark$		
166	3/95/3/	KELSALE CULVERT	A12	637612	264452	2,4	Low (21/22)	✓		
183	3/105//	RIVER WANG	A12	646389	279062	1,2	High (17/18)	17/18	✓	✓
207	T3/80/8/	MARTLESHAM FOOTBRDGE	0	624681	245385	3,4	N/A	N/A		
216	/50/37/	LEISTON COMMON CLVT	U2822	645449	263501	4	N/A	N/A		
346	/60/16/F	HAYLINGS RD F'BRIDGE	0	644334	262564	1	N/A	N/A		
354	/60/09/	FRISTON CULVERT	B1121	641282	260197	1,2,3	N/A	✓		
384	3/86/1/	KESGRAVE UNDERPASS	A12	624219	246265	3,4	High (16/17)	✓		
435	3/112/2/S	PIER TERR SGN GTY B	0	654737	292665	1,2	N/A	N/A		
445	/50/33/	MIDDLETON CROSSING	B1122	640680	268327	1,4	N/A	N/A		
479	3/94//	FARNHAM	A12	636014	260117	3,4	High (15/16)	$\checkmark$		
538	/39/07/	DARSHAM STATION	A12	640422	269616	1,2	N/A	$\checkmark$		
555	/29/01/	FROSTENDEN CULVERT	A12	647815	280298	1,2	N/A	N/A		
559	3/99//	EAST MINSMERE	A12	639965	268920	1,2	Medium (16/17)	✓		
579	3/102//	HOLLYHILL CULVERT	A12	643412	273569	1,2	Medium (18/19)	✓		
632	/50/12/	THEBERTON CHURCH	B1122	643640	265984	1,4	N/A	✓		
633	/50/08/	MILLHOUSE	A12	639422	268347	2,4	N/A	✓		
642	/19/21/	BLUE ARCH WRENTHAM	A12	649866	283750	1,2	N/A	N/A		
643	3/86/6/	RIVER FYNN CULVERTS	A12	624831	247800	3,4	High (14/15)	$\checkmark$		
671	3/89/5/	FOWLS WATERING	A12	630912	255602	3,4	High (14/15)	✓		
693	T3/80/9/	MARTLESHAM SUBWAY	A12	624526	245926	3,4	Medium (16/17)	✓		
746	/59/40/	LITTLE GLEMHAM CLVRT	A12	634111	258385	3,4	Low (21/22)	$\checkmark$		
796	/28/47/	WANGFORD	A12	646472	279221	1,2	N/A	N/A		
826	/39/33/	THORINGTON CULVERT	A12	642988	273030	1,2	Low (24/25)	$\checkmark$		
861	3/86/2/	BEALINGS CULVERT	A12	624070	247042	3,4	Low (20/21)	$\checkmark$		
894	3/89/1/	BYNG HALL ROAD	A12	629185	253343	3,4	High (14/15)	$\checkmark$		
1016	/39/08/	DARSHAM DIP	A12	641175	270776	1,2	N/A	N/A		
1035	/29/02/	PETMARSH COTTAGES	A12	648489	281835	1,2	N/A	$\checkmark$		
1040	3/105/A/	RIVER WANG EXTENSION	A12	646379	279085	1,2	High (17/18)	17/18	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
1041	3/104//	BLYTHBURGH	A12	645236	275584	1,2	High (18/19)	18/19		
1094	3/110/1/	KESSINGLAND PED. U/P	A12	651952	286669	1,2	Medium (20/21)	$\checkmark$		
1109	3/104/2/	WANGFORD FLOOD CLVRT	A12	646359	279013	1,2	Low (19/20)	✓		
1115	/50/09/	MIDDLETON CULVERT	B1122	641730	267380	1,4	N/A	✓		
1123	/19/22/	LATYMERE DAM SOUTH	A12	650914	286049	1,2	N/A	✓		
1125	3/86/7/	CHERRY TREE FARM ACC	A12	624908	247832	3,4	High (16/17)	✓		
1151	3/89/6/	RIVER DEBEN	A12	630967	255822	3,4	High (15/16)	$\checkmark$		
1175	/50/43/	CHURCH FARM CLVRT	C227	643626	265962	4	N/A	N/A		
1201	T45/0/11/	SEVEN HILLS INT EAST	A14	623431	241352	3,4	N/A	N/A		
1224	/28/35/	WANGFORD SCHOOL	A12	646313	278877	1,2	N/A	$\checkmark$		
1334	/50/05/	KELSALE CULVERT	A12	638294	266004	2,4	N/A	$\checkmark$		

1337 /19/14/	FOXBARROW COVERT	A12	650543	285686 1,2	N/A	N/A		
1338 3/86/3/	MARTLESHAM RD BRIDGE	C328	624212	247357 3,4	N/A	N/A		
1354 3/95/1/	BENHALL CULVERT	A12	637815	261059 2,4	N/A	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		
1370 3/89/2/	BING BROOK CULVERT	A12	629350	253566 3,4	High (18/19)	18/19		
1386 T3/80/6/	BUCKLESHAM RD OVERBR	C321	623614	242401 3,4	N/A	N/A		
1418 /28/14/	HENHAM CULVERT	A12	645800	276676 1,2	N/A	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		
1486 /39/09/	HIGH STREET, DARSHAM	A12	641299	271028 1,2	N/A	✓		
1492 3/110//	LATYMERE DAM MIDDLE	A12	651014	286123 1,2	High (15/16)	✓		
1493 /106//	WRENTHAM	A12	649817	282603 1,2	Medium (20/21)	$\checkmark$		
1543 /60/07/	COLDFAIR GREEN	B1069	643391	260838 1	Low (20/21)	✓		
1574 3/110/2/	KESSINGLAND AGRIC UP	A12	652632	287683 1,2	High (16/17)	✓		
1600 /19/23/	LATYMERE DAM NORTH	A12	651241	286197 1,2	Low (19/20)	✓		
1603 3/86/8/	SECKFORD CULVERT	A12	625193	247932 3,4	N/A	N/A		
1637 3/89/7/	FLOOD CULVERT	A12	631037	256081 3,4	High (15/16)	✓		
1650 /59/08/	GLEMHAM PARK CULVERT	A12	634728	259575 3,4	Low (19/20)	✓		
1693 T45/0/12/	SEVEN HILLS INT WEST	A14	623306	241444 3,4	N/A	N/A		
1828 /50/10/	VALLEY FARM	B1122	642772	266787 1,4	N/A	N/A		
1829 /50/06/	LONG CULVERT	A12	638377	266367 2,4	Medium (18/19)	✓		
1836 3/86/4/	MARTLESHAM RWAY BDGE	A12	624418	247584 3,4	High (16/17)	<b>√</b>		
1857 3/95/2/	SAXMUNDHAM CULVERT	A12	637588	263648 2,4	Low (23/24)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		
1870 3/89/3/	JAVA LODGE OVERBRIDG	B1438	629886	253891 34	N/A	N/A		
1893 T3/80/7/	MILL RIVER CULVERT	A12	624149	243354 3,4	High (18/19)	18/19		
1899 /50/36/	YEWTREE CORNER CLVRT	B1122	643246	266542 1,4	N/A	N/A		
2109 3/112/1/S	PIER TERR SGN GTY A	0	654709	292631 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2129 3/89/8/	HACHESTON OVERBRIDGE	B1078	631283	256693 3,4	N/A	N/A		
2166 3/95/4/R	DORLEY'S RET WALL		638095	265650 2,4	N/A	N/A		
2167 3/112/3/S	ROYAL TERRACE S.GANT		654753	292676 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2183 /10/28/F	BLOODMOOR LN F'BRDGE		652757	290059 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2219 /60/19/	FIRS FARM	A1094	641136	259367 2,3	N/A	<b>√</b>		
2258 RAIL/379/600/	ROSE HILL,FARNHAM	A1094	637919	260015 2,3	N/A	N/A		
2513 /50/52/R	A12 PARK FARM R/WALL	A12	638321	266012 2,4	Low (27/28)	✓		
2518 /59/49/R	FARNHAM STREET R/WLL	A12	636291	260156 3,4	N/A	N/A		
2567 /50/54/R	GAS HILL RET/WALL		644320	262600 1	N/A	N/A		
2607 PRIV/19/25/R	WRENTHAM RET/WALL	A12	649912	282929 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2817 /10/42/	BLACKHEATH RD CULV	A12	653429	291640 1,2	High (17/18)	17/18	×	✓
2818 /10/43/	BIRDS LANE CULVERT	A12	653516	291839 1,2	High (23/24)	✓		
2829 /10/51/S	AKD GANTRY		654142	292428 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2830 /10/52/S	KINGS GANTRY		654262	292447 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2831 /10/53/S	HORN HILL GANTRY		654353	292479 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2832 /10/54/S	GLUE POT GANTRY	U9999	654423	292588 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2833 /10/55/S	ST JOHNS GANTRY	U9999	654613	292615 1,2	N/A	N/A		
2835 PRIV/10/56/	BELVEDERE RD TANK	A12	654640	292610 1,2	N/A	✓		
2877 /10/49/	SOUTHWELL RD SLAB	A12	653944	292278 1,2	N/A	✓		
2898 /50/35/	GARDENHOUSE FARM	B1122	642092	267289 1,4	N/A	N/A		
6557 /28/235/R	BLYTH SHEET WALL		645190	275770 1,2	N/A	N/A		

From:	Howell, Tania <tania.howell@jacobs.com></tania.howell@jacobs.com>
Sent:	25 May 2018 09:57
То:	Andrew Pearce
Subject:	RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Hi Andy,

Apologies for the delay in getting back to you.

I've looked at all the route options, and happy to say there's no problem for me with any of them – no HRE structures "in the way"!

Regards Tania

Tania Howell Abnormal Loads Officer Jacobs DDI: 0118 946 8911

If your mail concerns abnormal load movements, please reply to RSGBRB@jacobs.com

From: Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com]

Sent: 23 May 2018 17:09

To: RSGBRB@jacobs.com; 'AbLoads (Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk)' <Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk>; Lisa Wheelwright-Brown <Lisa.Wheelwright-Brown@canalrivertrust.org.uk>; Abloads.Area6@kier.co.uk; Hughes, John <John.Hughes@kier.co.uk>; Chimwemwe Banda <Chimwemwe.Banda@kier.co.uk>; 'AlL@suffolk.gov.uk' <AlL@suffolk.gov.uk>; 'abnormalloads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk' <abnormalloads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk> Cc: Daisy Wynn <Daisy.Wynn@wynnslimited.com>; Hyde, Nicolas <Nicolas.Hyde@highwaysengland.co.uk>; Teeluck, Precilia <Precilia.Teeluck@highwaysengland.co.uk>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Dear All,

Please see attached a feasibility study that should be self-explanatory in terms of AIL access. I look forward to your response as soon as possible.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information.

Kind Regards

Freeman	Lice Wheeluwight Brown clice Wheeluwight Brown@constructors.uk
From:	Lisa wheelwhght-brown <lisa.wheelwhght-brown@canainvertrust.org.uk <="" td=""></lisa.wheelwhght-brown@canainvertrust.org.uk>
Sent:	13 June 2018 10:08
То:	Andrew Pearce
Subject:	RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Hi Andrew

Sorry for the delay in responding. I thought I had responded but I have just seen that I had replied to myself and not you!!

These proposed routed do not affect any of the Canal & River Trusts infrastructure.

Yes I will be around next Tuesday in the office until 3pm, yes stop and say hello  $\bigcirc$  I sit on the 3<sup>rd</sup> floor at the end of the office.

Kind Regards

#### Lisa Wheelwright-Brown

#### **Technical Administrator**

**T** 0113 2005759

Operations & Asset Management Directorate Canal & River Trust, Fearns Wharf, Neptune Street, Leeds LS9 8PB

Please visit our website to find out more about the Canal & River Trust.

From: Andrew Pearce <Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com>
Sent: 13 June 2018 09:54
To: Lisa Wheelwright-Brown <Lisa.Wheelwright-Brown@canalrivertrust.org.uk>
Subject: FW: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Hi Lisa,

I presume that this one is OK but do not appear to have had this confirmed by you?

Just as an aside I may be at CRT Leeds on Tuesday next week for a meeting. If you are around maybe I could stop by and say hello?

Sorry for chasing.

Regards

Andy

From: Andrew Pearce
Sent: 23 May 2018 17:08
To: 'RSGBRB@jacobs.com' <<u>RSGBRB@jacobs.com</u>>; 'AbLoads (<u>Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk</u>)'
<<u>Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk</u>>; 'Lisa Wheelwright-Brown' <<u>Lisa.Wheelwright-</u>

Brown@canalrivertrust.org.uk>; 'Abloads.Area6@kier.co.uk' <<u>Abloads.Area6@kier.co.uk</u>>; 'Hughes, John' <<u>John.Hughes@kier.co.uk</u>>; 'Chimwemwe Banda' <<u>Chimwemwe.Banda@kier.co.uk</u>>; 'AIL@suffolk.gov.uk' <<u>AIL@suffolk.gov.uk</u>>; 'abnormalloads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk' <<u>abnormalloads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk</u>> **Cc:** Daisy Wynn <<u>Daisy.Wynn@wynnslimited.com</u>>; 'Hyde, Nicolas' <<u>Nicolas.Hyde@highwaysengland.co.uk</u>>; 'Teeluck, Precilia' <<u>Precilia.Teeluck@highwaysengland.co.uk</u>> **Subject:** AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Dear All,

Please see attached a feasibility study that should be self-explanatory in terms of AIL access. I look forward to your response as soon as possible.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information.

Kind Regards



#### Keep in touch

Sign up for the Canal & River Trust e-newsletter https://canalrivertrust.org.uk/newsletter Become a fan on https://www.facebook.com/canalrivertrust Follow us on https://twitter.com/canalrivertrust and https://www.instagram.com/canalrivertrust

This email and its attachments are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you are not the intended recipient of this email and its attachments, you must take no action based upon them; please delete without copying or forwarding and inform the sender that you received them in error. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of The Canal & River Trust.

Canal & River Trust is a charitable company limited by guarantee registered in England & Wales with company number 7807276 and charity number 1146792. Registered office address First Floor North, Station House, 500 Elder Gate, Milton Keynes MK9 1BB.

#### Cadw mewn cysylltiad

Cofrestrwch i dderbyn e-gylchlythyr Glandŵr Cymru https://canalrivertrust.org.uk/newsletter Cefnogwch ni ar https://www.facebook.com/canalrivertrust Dilynwch ni ar https://twitter.com/canalrivertrust ac https://www.instagram.com/canalrivertrust

Mae'r e-bost hwn a'i atodiadau ar gyfer defnydd y derbynnydd bwriedig yn unig. Os nad chi yw derbynnydd bwriedig yr e-bost hwn a'i atodiadau, ni ddylech gymryd unrhyw gamau ar sail y cynnwys, ond yn hytrach dylech eu dileu heb eu copïo na'u hanfon ymlaen a rhoi gwybod i'r anfonwr eich bod wedi eu derbyn ar ddamwain. Mae unrhyw farn neu safbwynt a fynegir yn eiddo i'r awdur yn unig ac nid ydynt o reidrwydd yn cynrychioli barn a safbwyntiau Glandŵr Cymru.

Mae Glandŵr Cymru yn gwmni cyfyngedig drwy warant a gofrestrwyd yng Nghymru a Lloegr gyda rhif cwmni 7807276 a rhif elusen gofrestredig 1146792. Swyddfa gofrestredig: First Floor North, Station House, 500 Elder Gate, Milton Keynes MK9 1BB.

From:	Abnormal Loads (NC & SC) < AbnormalLoads@norfolk.pnn.police.uk >
Sent:	31 May 2018 12:21
То:	Andrew Pearce
Subject:	RE: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

**Good Afternoon** 

Further to our telephone conversation I confirm the preferred route is to avoid the centre of Leiston due to obstructions such as parked cars.

#### Kind regards.

#### Philippa Humby

Abnormal Loads & Voice Recording RPFOU Norfolk Constabulary Room 2-1-7 OCC, Falconer's Chase Wymondham, Norfolk, NR18 0WW Tel: 01953 424672 www.norfolk.police.uk

From: Andrew Pearce [mailto:Andy.Pearce@wynnslimited.com]
Sent: 23 May 2018 17:08
To: 'RSGBRB@jacobs.com'; 'AbLoads (Abnormal.Loads@networkrail.co.uk)'; Lisa Wheelwright-Brown; Abloads.Area6@kier.co.uk; Hughes, John; Chimwemwe Banda; 'AIL@suffolk.gov.uk'; Abnormal Loads (NC & SC)
Cc: Daisy Wynn; Hyde, Nicolas; Teeluck, Precilia
Subject: AIL Access Study – East Anglia One Offshore Windfarm Substation

Dear All,

Please see attached a feasibility study that should be self-explanatory in terms of AIL access. I look forward to your response as soon as possible.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information.

Kind Regards